Hay ette County, to wit.

Daniel Weitle complains of Peter D. Robert in custody, &c. for that whereas the faid Peter D. Robert the defendant on the day of in the year of our Lord, 1995 at the parish of Kentucky, in the county aforesaid, was indebted to the said Daniel Weible the plaintiff in the sum of 4900 coursest money for met 4100 plaintiff in the sum of £200 — current money, for work & labour as inner & molerals to building furnished the said plaintiff, by the said plaintiff, before that time and majoring and for the said defendant at the special instance and request of current money, for work & labour as a him \_\_\_ the faid defendant; and being so indebted he \_ the said defendant afterwards, to wit, on the same day and year aforesaid, at the parish and fendant afterwards, to wit, on the tame and to the laid planting in the county aforefails, promifed that he the faid defendant would well and faithfully pay and fatisfy to the faid plaintiff the faid fum of money whenever after he should be thereto requested: and whereas also, the said defendant afterwards, to wit, on the same day and year last aforesaid, at the parish, and in the county aforefaid, in consideration that the said plaintiss had before that time, at the like special instance and request of the said desendant, sold and delivered to the said desendant other work and labour and surrounded other materials for the said destination to the said destination and to the said plaintiss took upon surrounded and to the said plaintiss then and the said plaintiss took upon surrounded and to the said plaintiss then and there faithfully promifed, that he the faid defendant would well and faithfully pay and fatisfy to the faid plaintiff so much money as the work and labour and makenals last mentioned, at the sine of the sale and delivery thereof were reasonably worth, whenever after he should be thereto requested; and the said plaintiff avers that the said work that our and materials all mentioned were at the time of the and delivery thereof, reasonably worth another sum of £ 200 of like current money, to wit, at the parish aforefaid, in the county aforefaid, whereof the faid defendant afterwards, to wit, on the fame day and year aforesaid, at the parish, and in the county aforesaid, from the faid plaintiff had notice: and whereas also afterwards, to wit, on the same day and year aforefaid, at the parish and in the county aforefaid, the faid defendant was indebted to the faid plaintiff in the further fum of £ 200 of like current money, for so much money of the faid plaintiff by the faid plaintiff to and for the use of the faid defendant before that time paid, laid out, and expended, at the special instance and request of the said defendant and being so indebted, he the said defendant in confideration thereof afterwards, to wit, on the same day and year aforesaid, at the parish, and in the county aforesaid, took upon himself and to the said plaintiss then and there, faithfully promised that he the said defendant the said sum of £ 200 the last mentioned to the said plaintiss whenever after he should be thereto requested, would well and faithfully pay and content: and whereas also, the faid defendant afterwards, to wit, on the same day and year aforesaid, at the parish, and in the county aforesaid, was indebted to the said plaintiff in other £200 - of like current money, for money by the faid plaintiff before that time lent and advanced to the faid defendant and at his special instance and request; and being so indebted, he the said defendant in consideration thereof, afterwards, to wit, on the same day and year aforesaid, at the parish, and in the county aforesaid, undertook, and to the said plaintiff then and there faithfully promised that he the said defendant the said sum last mentioned to the said plaintiff whenever after he should of £200 be thereto requested, would well and faithfully pay and content: nevertheless the faid defendant the feveral promifes and undertakings by him in form aforefaid refpectively made, in no wife regarding, but contriving and fraudulently intending the faid plaintiff in this behalf craftily and subtlely to deceive and defraud, the said several sums of money, or any part thereof, to the faid plaintiff hakenot paid, nor him for the same in any manner hitherto contented, although the said defendant afterwards, to wit, on the same day and year aforesaid, and often afterwards, in the parish and county aforesaid, by the said plaintiff was requested so to do, but the same to him to pay, or him -for the same in any manner to content the said defendant hath hitherto wholly refused and still do refuse, to the damage of the said plaintiff £ 500 and therefore he brings. fuit, &c. H. Clay 18.9 JOHN DOE, & RICHARD ROE. & Pledge