

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY

LEXINGTON, KENTUCKY 40506-0032

UNIVERSITY SENATE COUNCIL
10 ADMINISTRATION BUILDING

26 August 1985

TO: Members, University Senate

The University Senate will meet in regular session on Monday, September 9, 1985, at 3 p.m. in ROOM 116 of the THOMAS HUNT MORGAN BIOLOGY BUILDING. (PLEASE NOTE: This is a change from where the Senate has met in past years. We will be meeting in a more centrally located and less cavernous room. The Morgan Building is on the southwest corner of Rose and Washington Streets. Room 116 is the first room on your right if you come in the Rose Street entrance.)

AGENDA:

- ✓1. Minutes of May 6, 1985.
- ✓2. Remarks by President Otis A. Singletary.
- ✓3. Resolutions.
- ✓4. Introduction of Senate Officers and Committee Chairs.
- ✓5. Academic Ombudsman's Report for 1984-85 Academic Year: Dr. Charles Ellinger.
- ✓6. Chairman's Announcements and Remarks:
 - a. General.
 - b. Pertaining to CHE Strategic Plan for Higher Education and Senate Council Response.

- Wed, Oct. 2 - Gen. Ed.
U.S.C.

Randall Dahl
Secretary

/cet
0678C

MINUTES OF THE UNIVERSITY SENATE, SEPTEMBER 9, 1985

The University Senate met in regular session at 3:05 p.m., Monday, September 9, 1985, in room 116 of the Thomas Hunt Morgan Building.

Bradley C. Canon, Chairman of the Senate Council, presided.

Members absent: Frank Allana, R. A. Altenkirch*, Roger B. Anderson, Charles E. Barnhart, Raymond F. Betts, Jack C. Blanton, Tex Lee Boggs, Peter P. Bosomworth, Daniel J. Breazeale, John Cain, I. K. Chew, Emmett Costich*, George F. Crewe*, Stephen C. Deger, Richard C. Domek*, Herbert N. Drennen, Anthony Eardley, Donald G. Ely, Carolyn Fore*, Richard W. Furst, Fletcher Gabbard, Marilyn D. Hamann*, Leonard E. Heller, Robert E. Hemenway*, Raymond R. Hornback, Gregg Hovious, Susan Johnson*, Robert G. Lawson, O. J. Loewer, Edgar D. Maddox, Kenneth E. Marino*, Peggy Meszaros, Donald J. Mullineaux, Michael T. Nietzel, Merrill W. Packer*, Bobby C. Pass*, Richard Perkins, John J. Piccoro*, Robin D. Powell, Peter Purdue, Thomas C. Robinson, Gerald A. Rosenthal, Charles Sachatello, Karen Skaff*, Carol B. Stelling, Louis Straub*, Joseph V. Swintosky*, Michael G. Tearney, Kenneth R. Thompson, Kellie Towles, Marc J. Wallace, Angene Wilson, Carolyn Williams

The Minutes of the meeting of May 6, 1985, were approved as circulated.

Chairman Canon said he was Chairman of the Senate Council and the President of the University Senate is Dr. Otis A. Singletary, President of the University. Traditionally the President addresses the Senate at the September meeting and the Chairman said this year was no exception. He said it was no secret that the University had undergone some unusual events during the past year and particularly over the summer. The Chairman felt the President's talk would be of particular interest to all of the Senators and to the entire University community. The Chairman welcomed President Singletary to give his State of the University address.

A summary of the President's remarks follow:

President Singletary welcomed the Senators for another school year and said their return this particular year marked the end of what he would describe as the longest, most active, and in many ways the most difficult summer he could remember. This was the summer when the Boards at the University of Louisville and the University of Kentucky received and acted upon the reports having to do with merging the two institutions. Both Boards came to exactly the same conclusion and that was this is not the time to attempt to put the two institutions under one governing board. Other important issues of the summer were the termination of the Coal Research Contract, the Special Session of the Legislature which passed Governor Collins' education bill, the adoption of the operating budget for the University, and the unveiling of what has been called the Council on Higher Education strategic plan.

The information items which the President felt were of interest to the Senate concerned enrollment, the report on Faculty Alternatives, the report on General Education, salaries, and physical facilities.

The President reported that the overall enrollment is down but less than one percent. On the main campus the enrollment is close to 21,000. The Community College System has an enrollment of 23,700. This is a

*Absence explained

total loss of between 300 and 400 students. There is a substantial increase in the freshman class even though the University has a selective admissions policy. The President felt the increase was due to the merit scholarships to help students to make the decision to come to this University. He also felt the active recruitment effort from the Admissions Office had helped and felt the effort should be intensified, particularly outside the state. He hoped the increase also reflected a change in perception about UK as a quality institution. Last year UK had the only freshman class of any public institution in Kentucky with ACT scores above the national average.

Enrollments are down in Engineering and Agriculture, up in Communications, Social Work, Architecture, and Nursing, and steady in Arts and Sciences, Business and Economics, Home Economics, Pharmacy, and Education. The President was happy to report that the Graduate School enrollment continued to increase.

The President has received the recommendation from the Senate Council on the Pival Report on Faculty Alternatives. A committee has been appointed by the Senate to assess the options as they apply to early retirement and to recommend and develop regulations for implementation. The other part of the report dealt with the area of faculty development and renewal and has been referred to the Chancellors with the request they have it reviewed and make appropriate recommendations to the president.

President Singletary also commented on the General Education report from the Swift Committee which was circulated last spring. The President urged the Senators to give the report very thoughtful attention. He said, "I hope you won't give further credence to that old cliché that attempting to change curriculum always has the same social, political and psychological ramifications of moving a cemetery."

During the Special Session of the Legislature the "salary cap" was removed and the President's feeling is the cap will not be put back, but at the same time no additional dollars were appropriated for salary. He said the University's budget was being reviewed to see if some adjustments could be made about the salary problem. He felt the best that could be done was to move in the direction of having some additional dollars for the most serious and most pressing of the merit cases and to have some available dollars to deal with preventing the loss of key people. At the Board Meeting next week the President proposes to present the Five-year Plan and the next biennial budget request in which the priorities will be salaries, academic support and Centers of Excellence.

President Singletary gave an update on the physical facilities. He was happy to report that the new Pharmacy Building was nearing completion with full operation by the Spring Semester. The second state-funded project in construction is the Mining and Minerals Building. There is one University-funded building which is the Animal Care Facility. There are other active projects supported from private funds including the Markey Cancer Center, the Combs Research Foundation Building, the Equine Research Center, and the Faculty Club. The

Athletic Association has several projects, all with private support. There is an effort underway to raise funds for the Athletics Training Center.

The President announced that within the next few weeks he proposes to appoint an ad hoc committee to take a long-range look at the University and provide a relatively realistic proposal of what the goals should be and what it would take to put the University where it ought to be within the next fifteen or twenty years.

President Singletary also announced that it was his intention to make a formal announcement of his own future and plans during the course of the academic year. In the meantime he plans to continue doing everything he can to address the concerns and problems facing the University.

The President felt it was important that the faculty understand certain things about the Council on Higher Education's strategic plan. He said the Council's plan represented the work of only one of two groups studying higher education. The Legislature has a SCR 30 group, and the President reported that he had no knowledge of what that group would do, but that he wanted the Senate to know this group is there and that they are powerful. The other group is the Council itself. He said the first Council draft proposed to treat this institution harshly. A number of the options were to take the Community Colleges away, close down the Dental School, restrict enrollment in the Law School, put the Medical Center under another board and seek alternative funding for agriculture research and extension. He said he did not object to everything in the latest version of the Council plan, and he felt the faculty should be aware of the things the University did support in the document. He said that he could not agree more with the stated goal "that this state needs one nationally recognized comprehensive University and it ought to be the University of Kentucky." Last month more than 30 Alumni Clubs in Kentucky met on the same night and the President spoke to each by video tape where he spelled out the University's position on the issues. There was also a team of faculty and staff from UK at each location. He said that the event was a great success. His impression was that the governance issue on the Community Colleges is going to be ameliorated and softened and not be something the Council will want to pursue in recommending a second board. The Dental School issue was the thorniest of all. The President feels both dental schools should be kept and both he and President Swain are urging the Council to keep the schools in operation.

The President concluded by saying that this is a crucial time for our institution because there are so many things in motion that could be in the worst interests of the University. He emphasized his concern be stated:

When I talk about the importance of this institution I mean not only to those people who are privileged to participate in the life of this institution but also to those countless thousands of other Kentuckians who never set foot on any of

our campuses, but whose lives have indeed been touched, whether they know it or not, and whose fortunes have been improved, whether they know it or not, by the programs and by the people of this University.

The senators applauded President Singletary and on behalf of the Senate, Chairman Canon thanked him.

Chairman Canon recognized Professor Wilbur Frye who read the following Resolution on Professor Robert N. Bostrom, Chairman of the University Senate Council, 1984-85.

"Whereas, Professor Robert N. Bostrom served as Chairman of the Senate Council of the University of Kentucky during 1984-85 and, in that capacity, presided over the meetings of the University Senate and University Senate Council and represented the faculty in the policy-making process with the administration of the University, this resolution is to commend him for his dedication, skillful leadership, and good humor in carrying out those responsibilities.

In presiding over the Senate, Professor Bostrom used his booming voice and his skill in parliamentary procedure to enhance his authority figure. After spurning offers of a microphone, he would raise his voice a few decibels and call the meeting to order. He was so confident that everyone could hear him that he did not feel compelled to say, 'Please hold up your hand if you can't hear me.' The volume of his voice has also been an asset throughout his tenure as a member, Vice-chairman, and Chairman of the Senate Council, where the floor and attention of fellow members are granted to the loudest member. His superior knowledge of parliamentary procedure was shown by the fact that he was the only Chairman of the Senate Council who knew what to do with Professor Ivey's persistent and insistent 'calls for the question.'

Professor Bostrom ably represented the Senate and Senate Council at meetings with the administration and policy makers of the University. It was at one such meeting that he came to realize that work needs yet to be done in his chosen field, communications, when a reporter chose to ignore everything that he said about the merger of the University of Kentucky and the University of Louisville except that it would be like "mixing Maker's Mark and Fresca."

One of the many good things that could be said about Professor Bostrom's tenure as chairman of the Senate Council is that it was fun to serve with him.

Now, therefore, be it resolved that the University Senate, at its meeting on September 9, 1985, commends Professor Robert Bostrom for a job well done and expresses its appreciation for his dedicated service to the Senate Council and the University of Kentucky. Bob, we extend to you our sincere best wishes.

Professor Frye requested that the Resolution be made a part of these minutes and Professor Bostrom was given a round of applause.

The Chairman introduced the officers of the Senate: Randall W. Dahl, Secretary and University Registrar; Martha Sutton, Recording Secretary; Professor Emeritus Gifford Blyton, Parliamentarian; Celinda Todd, Administrative Assistant to the Senate Council; Mary Mayhew and Frankie Garrison, Sergeants at Arms for the University Senate 1985-86 year. The Chairman also introduced the Senate Council members for the 1985-86 year who are: Wilbur Frye, agronomy; Don Hochstrasser, allied health; Robert Altenkirch, mechanical engineering; Enid Waldhart, communications; Ward Crowe, veterinary science; Jesse Weil, physics; Douglas Rees, medicine; and William Lyons, political science. The two student members are Kathleen Ashcraft and Todd Osborne. Ex officio members are: Professors Robert Bostrom, retired Chairman of the Senate Council; Connie Wilson, social work and James Kemp, animal sciences, Board of Trustees members; and John Cain, President Student Government. The new members of the Senate were asked to stand.

The Chairs for the Senate Committees for the 1985-86 academic year are Professors Martin McMahon, Rules and Elections; Trudi Bellardo, Library; Loys Mather, Admissions and Academic Standards; Stanley Brunn, Academic Planning and Priorities; William Lyons, Academic Programs; Paul Eakin, Academic Organization and Structure; Brinton Milward, Research; Fletcher Gabbard, Academic Facilities, and Joseph Krislov, Institutional Finances and Resources Allocation. This year's ombudsman is Charles Byers.

Chairman Canon recognized Professor Charles Ellinger for the Academic Ombudsman's Report for 1984-85. Professor Ellinger's remarks follow:

"Once again I come before you to present the annual report of the Office of the Academic Ombudsman. I have had the privilege of serving in this office for the past two years. I was most pleased to have been selected to have served for a second year. The opportunities that are presented to the Academic Ombudsman are far too numerous to mention. My experiences in that office are a very valuable part of my experiences at this University. I will cherish them forever.

I would certainly like to thank those who selected me to serve the second year. There is no doubt in my mind that you are a better Ombudsman the second year of a term than you are the first. It is only natural that you learn from your previous experiences.

Once again I would like to commend the faculty overall of this University as being extremely available and cooperative. I also would like to once again express my sincere feelings of the overall sincerity that most of the students have on this campus particularly those that come to our office. The office serves, as you are aware, as a neutral ground. There are some people who view this office as either pro-student or pro-faculty. It was certainly my intention that the office remain neutral at all times. Hopefully, most of the people that came to our office or who were exposed to our office felt that we were able to achieve that.

I would like at this time to introduce Ms. Frankie Garrison. Ms. Garrison has been with the office for approximately ten years. Those of you that have dealt with the office know that she is very valuable to the continuity of this office. She also adds experience and advice for

the Ombudsman. She has a superb knowledge of the rules of the University which is not only beneficial to the Ombudsman but to the students as well. Ms. Garrison will present at this time the report of the office concerning the type of visits that occurred this past academic year.

GRIEVANCES

Personal/Family		10	
Administrative		17	
Finals		29	
Exams		14	
Miscellaneous		12	
Dissatisfaction Over Grades		265	
Teaching Practices and Personality Conflicts		45	
Illness		17	
Add/Drop		32	
Cheating		38	
Repeat Option		29	
Plagiarism		15	
Common Exams		12	
		<u>83/84</u>	<u>84/85</u>
Multiple Contact Cases		407	535
Short Cases (Referrals, Information, Advice)	1,421		1,894

COLLEGES

Agriculture	5
Allied Health	8
Architecture	12
Arts and Sciences	274
Business & Economics	40
Communications	8
Dentistry	2
Education	15
Engineering	24
Fine Arts	20
Graduate School	12
Home Economics	16
Law	1
Library and Information Science	1
Medicine	8
Nursing	4
Pharmacy	5
Social Work	4

NON-COLLEGE

Administrative	37
Miscellaneous	39

YEAR OF STUDENT

Freshmen	174
Sophomores	119
Juniors	98
Seniors	89
Graduate Students	24
Professional Students	29
Donovan Scholars	2

MONTH OF GRIEVANCE

<u>1984</u>	
July	15
August	35
September	74
October	34
November	26
December	52
<u>1985</u>	
January	58
February	37
March	72
April	60
May	54
June	18

I would also like to take the opportunity to thank many of the other individuals who have served with me in various capacities. I would like to say a special thanks to Professor Bill Fortune who has served as the hearing officer of the Appeals Board. Bill and I have had numerous contacts and I found him to be extremely willing and able to assist me in any manner that he could.

Also, I would like to thank Bob Bostrom and Cindy Todd of the Student Council office. They were always readily available when I needed their assistance.

I would like to thank Paul Sears, George Dexter, Jean Pival, Mike Brooks and Bill Lacy for being available for consultations. I would also like to say a special thanks to those who participated in the Symposium for Cheating and Plagerism that our office sponsored. Also a very special thanks to the volunteer ad hoc committee that was formed after that symposium and is the group that is responsible for the only recommendation that I am going to make this year.

I would like to thank Dr. Gallaher, Chancellor of the Lexington Campus and Dr. Bosomworth, Chancellor of the Medical Center. Both of these individuals were always supportive and readily available whenever I needed their advice and/or consultation.

Finally, another special thanks to Dr. Singletary who continues to support this office. It is extremely important, in my viewpoint, that the President of the University be supportive of this office if in fact the office is going to be of any value to the University.

I would now like to take this opportunity to discuss with you my only recommendation that I am proposing for this coming year. I mentioned earlier that this office sponsored a symposium on cheating and plagerism. Many of you were in attendance at this symposium. We had approximately 70 individuals - students, faculty and administrators that took part in this symposium. After the symposium was completed, a volunteer group of 15 individuals met six times. These meetings continued throughout the summer months. Those individuals that served on this committee were:

1. Don Sands - Vice-Chancellor, Academic Affairs
2. Bill Fortune - Hearing Officer, University Appeals
3. Gay Elste - Legal Council, UK
4. Joe Burch - Dean of Students
5. Jean Pival - Former Ombudsman
6. Mike Brooks - Former Ombudsman
7. Bill Lacy - Former Ombudsman
8. Barbara Mabry - Advisor, College of Arts and Sciences
9. John Cain - President, UK Student Body
10. Margey McQuilkin - Assistant Registrar
11. Jon Piccoro - Faculty, College of Pharmacy
12. Mark Summers - Faculty, Arts and Sciences
13. David Brownell - Student
14. Frankie Garrison - Office of Academic Ombudsman
15. Chuck Ellinger - Chairman and Immediate Past Academic Ombudsman

I will give only a synopsis of the recommendations at this time. The total recommendation will be given to Brad Canon, Chairman of the University Senate Council, so that he might present the recommendations to the full Council.

Briefly in outline form:

- A. Objective of Ad Hoc Committee
 1. Streamline the overall process
 2. Adopt a policy of consistency and fairness for all students.

- B. Responsibility of Instructor and Chairman (New 4.1)
 1. Instructor consults with the department chairman.
 2. They consult with dean of college where offense occurred concerning previous offenses.
 3. Minimum penalty in course - E.

- C. Reporting Academic Offenses (New 4.8)
 1. All final decisions of guilt of an academic offense shall be reported in writing to the registrar by the dean of the college in which the offense occurred.

D. Responsibility of the Registrar
(New 4.9)

1. Keep a record of final decisions of guilt.
2. Information will be released only with consent of student, or in response to an inquiry of a dean or the Academic Ombudsman.

E. Penalties for Academic Offenses
(New 4.95)

1. Minimum penalty is an E in the course.
2. Repeat option may not be used for this course.
3. Previous academic offense(s) recorded in Registrar's Office requires a minimum penalty of suspension for one semester.

Members of the University, this concludes my report. Once again, many thanks for allowing me to serve in this role.

The Chairman thanked Professor Ellinger for his report.

Chairman Bradley Canon made the following announcements:

"Professor Ray Betts, the Distinguished Professor in the College of Arts and Sciences will give the 'Distinguished Professor Lecture' on Thursday, October 3 at 8:00 p.m. in the Concert Hall in the Center for the Arts. The title of the lecture is 'Confounding the Line of Separation--The Culture of the Coast.'

The Senate Council elections are now in progress. The ballot is due October 4. There are three members to be elected and they will take office in January.

Forthcoming items on the Senate agenda are the recommendations of Professor Ellinger regarding cheating and plagiarism and the new General Studies Proposal. The proposal is currently before the Senate Council after having been approved by the Undergraduate Council and the Senate's Committee on Admissions and Academic Standards. It was circulated to Senators last spring and discussed informally by the Senate in April. I have asked Chancellor Gallaher to look into the financial feasibility of implementing the recommendation. The Senate Council will consider the academic implementations of the proposed University Studies revision. We plan to do this at a meeting on Wednesday, October 2. If you wish to speak to the Senate Council at that meeting, I would appreciate your letting the Senate Council Office know in advance and if possible, to have a written version of the points you want to make so that they can be distributed to other Council members in advance. If all goes well, the new General Studies package should come before the Senate at the November meeting.

Another item coming up in the near future is a proposal to revise the University's absence policy. Currently, the only excusable absence is being away on University sponsored trips. The Senate Council proposes to enlarge this by adding illness of the student or serious illness and death of the student's immediate family as excused absences.

The Senate Council has done a few things in relation to the strategic plan proposed by the Council on Higher Education. We have been busy over the summer, even if we have not been as busy as President Singletary, in trying to defend the University. The Senate Council in July responded to the proposal in the first draft in the CHE strategic plan that the UK's College of Dentistry be closed. We adopted a resolution which we forwarded to the Council on Higher Education and to all members thereof in which we protested that this proposal was included without any discussion of the quality of the teaching program, without any discussion of the statewide oral health services offered at either University of Louisville or University of Kentucky and without any discussion about the research performed at either university's college of dentistry. We urged that if the Council was dead set on closing a college of dentistry, it should be done in an impartial manner by an outside board of experts. We went on to argue that we did not believe that the Council had made a convincing case for there being only one dental school in the state and that the oral health of the people of Kentucky rather than protecting the existing practicing dentists from competition should be the primary concern to enter into such a decision. We did receive a response from Harry Snyder, the Executive Director of the Council, and from a couple of Council members, largely acknowledging receipt and indicating they were now planning to employ a group of experts to recommend which dental school be closed.

Subsequently, on behalf of the Senate Council, I made a statement before the Council on Higher Education at its Lexington hearing on the third draft of the strategic plan. The Senate Council did not formally write the statement I made because we did not have a quorum in town at the time, but received input from members of the Senate Council who were around. I briefly reiterated the Senate Council's position, not only on the dental school, but on all the other professional programs and on the proposals to separate the Medical Center and the Community Colleges from UK. I applauded the commitment offered in the third draft to make the University of Kentucky the state's comprehensive university and to seek the resources and put efforts into making its programs nationally renowned.

The bulk of my statement, however, focused on the proposal for establishment of Centers of Excellence at universities throughout Kentucky. We made no objection to the concept of Center of Excellence per se, but it was our belief that the centers proposal had not been well thought out by the Council on Higher Education and we tried to put some questions to the Council which we thought they should think through more fully before finally pursuing Centers of Excellence. One question was, 'How does the proposal for Centers of Excellence tie in with the commitment to make UK a comprehensive university?' Does the fact that some programs will be in Centers of Excellence mean that other programs will be deemphasized? We are fearful that the centers may be the repositories for all new resources and other programs may stagnate. We also expressed concern that the strategic plan implied that UK's Centers of Excellence would focus almost exclusively on graduate training. We have no objection to emphasizing graduate training, but we are bothered by the implication of exclusivity. Does this mean that efforts to enhance undergraduate excellence at UK will be ignored? We protested such an implication. We stressed that in most disciplines, at least, undergraduate excellence and graduate excellence were usually highly integrated and you could not really have one without the other. Finally we were bothered about the fact there

was no clear discussion of the scope of the Centers of Excellence--what they would include and what they wouldn't. Could there be a viable Center of Excellence in engineering if you didn't have a Center of Excellence in mathematics or physics? Obviously engineering depends heavily on mathematics and physics. On the other hand, if you say there will be a Center of Excellence and include everything that supports this or that, then it becomes tough to figure out where to draw the boundaries. In short, we felt the scope of the Centers of Excellence, how broad or narrow they could be, needed more thought.

Finally, on behalf of the Senate Council I attended a meeting of all chairs of University Senates (or some equivalent position) in Kentucky. We met as a group on the morning of August 28 and that afternoon we met with the Council on Higher Education staff. Senate leaders had some common ground. One, there was feeling that the Council plan should give faculty a greater role in developing the academic components of the strategic plan. The plan as now outlined had no faculty input and perhaps faculty leadership.

Second, while recognizing that there is a need for some limits on what constitutes a core of discipline, there was a fear among all of us that the Council on Higher Education's proposal to control what is and what is not a core of discipline, which is a part of the strategic plan, would rigidify the status quo and make innovation or reaction of changed circumstances difficult. The strategic plan, as currently written would establish a certain number of core disciplines, one in which any university could offer a major, and those and only those that would be core disciplines at all universities throughout the state. If a discipline were not among the core disciplines, a university could offer a degree in it only with the approval of CHE.

We were also fearful of the Council's proposal to consider adopting a core curriculum in the liberal arts. It would be common to all universities. There was a strong feeling among the leaders of the faculty senators that core curriculum issues should be decided at the university level and not in Frankfort.

In one area, we had no common response. There were mixed feelings among the faculty leaders around Kentucky on how we should react to the Centers of Excellence proposal. Frankly, some university representatives disliked the proposal absolutely. Others liked the proposal in concept at least.

On September 20, this group will meet to draw up a written statement to submit to the Council on Higher Education."

There were no questions from the floor about the Senate Council's response to the strategic plan.

The meeting adjourned at 4:45 p.m.

Randall W. Dahl
Secretary

RESOLUTION

Professor Robert N. Bostrom
Chairman, University Senate Council, 1984-85

Whereas, Professor Robert N. Bostrom served as Chairman of the Senate Council of the University of Kentucky during 1984-85 and, in that capacity, presided over the meetings of the University Senate and University Senate Council and represented the faculty in the policy-making process with the administration of the University, this resolution is to commend him for his dedication, skillful leadership, and good humor in carrying out those responsibilities.

In presiding over the Senate, Professor Bostrom used his booming voice and his knowledge of parliamentary procedure to enhance his authority figure. After spurning offers of a microphone, he would raise his voice a few decibels and call the meeting to order. He was so confident that everyone could hear him that he did not feel compelled to say, "Please hold up your hand if you can't hear me." The volume of his voice has also been an asset throughout his tenure as a member, Vice-chairman, and Chairman of the Senate Council, where the floor and attention of fellow members are granted to the loudest member. His superior knowledge of parliamentary procedure was shown by the fact that he was the only Chairman of the Senate Council who knew what to do with Professor Ivy's persistent and insistent "calls for the question."

Professor Bostrom ably represented the Senate and Senate Council at meetings with the administration and policy makers of the University. It was at one such meeting that he came to realize that work needs yet to be done in his chosen field, communications, when a reporter chose to ignore everything that he said about the merger of the University of Kentucky and the University of Louisville except that it would be like "mixing Maker's Mark and Fresca."

One of the many good things that could be said about Professor Bostrom's tenure as chairman of the Senate Council is that it was fun to serve with him.

Now, therefore, be it resolved that the University Senate, at its meeting on September 9, 1985, commends Professor Robert Bostrom for a job well done and expresses its appreciation for his dedicated service to the Senate, Senate Council, and the University of Kentucky. Bob, we extend to you our sincere best wishes.

UNIVERSITY SENATE 1985-86

AGRICULTURE (8)

- *Absher, Curtis W. '88 (ASC)
- Crowe, M. Ward '86 (VSC)
- +Ely, Donald G. '87 (ASC)
- *Frye, Wilbur '88 (AGR)
- Hemken, Roger W. '87 (ASC)
- Loewer, O. J. '87 (AEN)
- *Mather, Loys L. '88 (AEC)
- +Pass, Bob C. '86 (ENT)

ALLIED HEALTH (2)

- McDougall, Richard '86 (PT)
- Skaff, Karen '87 (DH)
- (for Pisaneschi, resigned)

ARCHITECTURE (1)

- *Deger, Stephen C. '86
- (for Spaeth, resigned)

ARTS AND SCIENCES (24)

Biological & Physical Sciences (11)

- *Butterfield, D. Allan '88 (CHE)
- Demski, Leo S. '86 (BIO)
- +Eakin, Paul M. '88 (MA)
- *Gabbard, Fletcher '88 (PHY)
- Goldstein, Lester '86 (BIO)
- Harris, Lawrence A. '87 (MA)
- Just, John J. '86 (BIO)
- +Purdue, Peter '87 (STA)
- Rosenthal, Gerald A. '86 (BIO)
- Wells, James H. '87 (CS)
- *Wood, Constance L. '88 (STA)

Literature & Philosophy (6)

- *Anderson, Roger B. '88 (SO)
- Breazeale, Daniel J. '87 (PHI)
- Davis, Kenneth W. '86 (ENG)
- *Hemenway, Robert E. '88 (ENG)
- Olshewsky, Thomas M. '87 (PHI)
- (On Lv Fall, '85)
- +Rea, John A. '86 (FR)
- (for Reedy, resigned)

Social Sciences (7)

- Belmore, Susan M. '86 (PSY)
- Brunn, Stanley D. '87 (GEO)
- +Feldman, Stanley '87 (PS)
- +Harris, Jesse G. '86 (PSY)
- *Hougland, James G., Jr. '88 (SOC)
- +Lyons, William E. '86 (PS)
- *Nietzel, Michael T. '88 (PSY)

BUSINESS AND ECONOMICS (9)

- Chew, I. K. '87 (FIN)
- Ingram, Thomas N. '86 (MGT)
- Lang, James R. '87 (MGT)
- +Marino, Kenneth E. '86 (BA)
- *Mullineaux, Donald J. '88 (FIN)
- Milward, H. Brinton '86 (MGT)
- *Shaw, Karyll N. '88 (MGT)
- *Tearney, Michael G. '88 (ACC)
- +Wallace, Marc J. '87 (BA)

COMMUNICATIONS (3)

- *Donohew, Robert Lewis '87 (COM)
- *Palmgreen, Philip C. '88 (COM)
- +Waldhart, Enid S. '86 (COM)

DENTISTRY (2)

- Costich, Emmett '86 (OS)
- Ferretti, Gerald '87 (PD)

EDUCATION (6)

- *Atwood, Ronald '87 (EDC)
- +Angelo, Richard '86 (EDF)
- *Bickel, Frank, Jr., '86 (EDC)
- (for Middleton, resigned)
- *Kearney, Jay T. '87 (HPR)
- *Wilson, Angene '88 (EDC)
- *Winograd, Peter '88 (EDC)

ENGINEERING (7)

- +Altenkirch, R. A. '86 (ME)
- *Bhattacharyya, Dibaker '88 (CME)
- Crew, George F. '87 (CE)
- (for Kao, resigned)
- *Gesund, Hans '88 (CE)
- Leigh, Donald '87 (EM)
- +Peters, Leonard K. '86 (CME)
- Rizzo, Frank J. '87 (EM)

FINE ARTS (2)

- +Hamann, Marilyn D. '87 (ART)
- +Montgomery, Patricia '86 (MUS)

HOME ECONOMICS (2)

- *Barclay, Lisa '88 (FAM)
- Chow, Ching '86 (NFS)
- (for Concon)

+Serving Second Consecutive Term

*New Member Fall 1985

LAW (2)

Ham, Willburt '86
+McMahon, Martin J., Jr. '87

LIBRARY AND INFORMATION SCIENCE (1)

Bellardo, Trudi '87

MEDICINE (10)

Ambrose, Charles T. '88 (MMI)
Dillon, Marcus '86 (SUR)
Hu, Alfred S. L. '86 (BCH)
Lieber, Arthur '88 (DR)
Mandelstam, Paul '87 (MED)
+Mattingly, Sally S. '87 (SUR)
+Noble, Robert C. '87 (MED)
Ram, Madhira D. '86 (SUR)
+Sachatello, Charles R. '88 (SUR)
Stelling, Carol B. '88 (RM)

NURSING (2)

Fore, Carolyn '87
Stanhope, Marcia '86

PHARMACY (2)

McNamara, Patrick J. '87
Piecoro, John J., Jr. '88

SOCIAL WORK (1)

Sullivan, Nathan R. '88

UNIVERSITY LIBRARIES (1)

Wiza, Judy '88

SENATE COUNCIL

Voting

(Members whose Senate terms
have expired)

Canon, Bradley C. '85 (A & S)
Hochstrasser, Donald '85 (AH)
Rees, E. Douglas '87 (MED)
Weil, Jesse '87 (A & S)

EX OFFICIO

Voting (12)

Michael A. Baer
Charles E. Barnhart
John Cain
(President Student Government)
Richard Domek, Jr.
Richard W. Furst
Art Gallaher, Jr.
Peggy Meszaros
Robin D. Powell
Timothy Sineath
Joseph V. Swintosky
Charles T. Wethington
Carolyn Williams

Non-Voting (25)

Raymond F. Betts
Jack C. Blanton
Tex Lee Boggs
Peter P. Bosomworth
Ray M. Bowen
Charles W. Byers
(Academic Ombudsman)
Randall W. Dahl
Herbert N. Drennen
Anthony Eardley
S. Zafar Hasan
Leonard E. Heller
Raymond R. Hornback
James D. Kemp
Robert G. Lawson
Edgar D. Maddox
Merrill W. Packer
Thomas C. Robinson
Wimberly C. Royster
Edgar L. Sagan
Donald E. Sands
Otis A. Singletary
Kenneth R. Thompson
Paul A. Willis
Constance P. Wilson
Robert G. Zumwinkle

STUDENT SENATORS (18)

Voting

Agriculture

Robin Lawson

Allied Health

Kellie Towles

Architecture

Todd Osborne

Arts & Sciences

Kathlene Ashcraft

Business & Economics

Louis Straub

Communications

Mark Moore

Dentistry

Frank Allana

Education

Laura Stivers

Engineering

Brian Bergman

Fine Arts

John Menkhaus

Graduate School

Robert Dennis

Home Economics

Susan Johnson

Law

Gregg Hovious

Library & Information Science

Kimberly Ellis

Medicine

Richard Perkins

Nursing

Pharmacy

Alison Hodges

Social Work

Kirk Rowe

MEMBERS OF SENATE COUNCIL

Voting

Altenkirch, Robert A. '86 (ME)

Angelo, Richard '87 (EDF)

(On Lv Fall '85)

Ashcraft, Kathlene '86 (Student)

Canon, Bradley C. '85 (PS)

Crowe, Ward '86 (VSC)

(for Collins, resigned)

Frye, Wilbur '85 (AGR)

Hochstrasser, Donald '85 (AH)

Lyons, William '85

(for Angelo, on Lv Fall '85)

Osborne, Todd '86 (Student)

Rees, E. Douglas '87 (MED)

Waldhart, Enid '86 (COM)

(for Ivey, retired)

Weil, Jesse '87 (PHY)

Ex-officio

Bostrom, Robert '85 (COM)

Cain, John '86 (Student)

Kemp, James '86 (AGR)

Wilson, Constance '87 (SW)