

Copy 1

MINUTES OF THE UNIVERSITY SENATE, DECEMBER 13, 1982

The University Senate met in regular session at 3:00 p.m., Monday, December 13, 1982, in Room 238 of the Classroom Building.

Donald W. Ivey, presided

Members absent: Roger B. Anderson, James Applegate, Michael Baer, Charles Barnhart, John Baseheart\*, Jacques Benninga\*, William H. Blackburn, Jack C. Blanton, James A. Boling\*, Peter P. Bosomworth\*, Robert N. Bostrom, Connie A. Bridge\*, Thomas D. Brower, James Buckholtz, Joseph T. Burch, David Chalk\*, Donald B. Clapp, Charlotte Clark\*, D. Kay Clawson\*, Dan Clifford\*, J. A. Deacon\*, David E. Denton\*, Donald F. Diedrich\*, James Dinkle, Richard C. Domek\*, Joseph M. Dougherty, Herbert N. Drennon, Nancy E. Dye, Paul M. Eakin\*, William Ecton\*, Donald G. Ely, Joseph L. Fink, Robert C. Flanigan, Ray Fogue, Donald T. Frazier\*, Tim Freudenberg\*, Richard W. Furst, Art Gallaher, Jr.\*, James L. Gibson, Thomas C. Gray\*, Andrew J. Grimes\*, Robert D. Guthrie, Merlin Hackbart, Anne T. Hahn\*, Joseph Hamburg, Marilyn D. Hamann\*, S. Zafar Hasan\*, Robert Hemenway\*, Andrew J. Hiatt, Lenda Hisle\*, Michael Hislope, Raymond R. Hornback, Joseph Howard, Raymond R. Hornback, Joseph Howard, Keith Johnson\*, David T. Kao, Peri Jean Kennedy, Michael J. Kirkhorn, Joseph Krislov\*, Robert G. Lawson\*, Gwendolen Lee, B. J. Leon\*, Gordon P. Liddle, David Lowery\*, Bruce A. Lucas, Paul Mandelstam\*, James R. Marsden, Joseph L. Massie\*, William L. Matthews, Sally S. Mattingly\*, Joann Maurer\*, Marcus T. McEllistrem, Marion E. McKenna\*, John M. Mitchell, William G. Moody\*, Dominic Mudd\*, Daniel N. Nelson\*, Pamela Nickless, Robert C. Nobel\*, Mary Ann O'Donnell\*, Clayton Omvig\*, Merle D. Pattengill, Clayton R. Paul, David C. Payne, Alan R. Perreiah\*, Bryan Peters, Jane S. Peters\*, David J. Prior\*, John A. Rea, Daniel Reedy\*, Ira Ross\*, Wimberly C. Royster\*, Thomas Roszman\*, Thomas A. Rush, Edgar Sagan, Otis A. Singletary\*, John T. Smith, Stanford L. Smith, Marjorie Stewart\*, Glen Terndrup, John Thompson, Lee T. Todd, Richard Underwood\*, William F. Wagner, Enid S. Waldhart\*, Marc J. Wallace, David Webster\*, Jesse Weil\*, Charles Wethington,

The Minutes of the Meetings of September 13, 1982, and November 8, 1982, were approved as circulated.

Chairman Donald W. Ivey made the following announcements.

"I don't want you to forget the social tomorrow from 4:00 to 6:00 p.m. It is in the Alumni House, and I hope that as many of you as possible will be there with your spouses and/or friends.

The next meeting will be February 14.

For those of you who may not know, there is an organization in Kentucky made up of Senate Council Chairmen and one other member of the Senate or the Senate Council which is called the Congress of Senate Faculty Leaders. It has been in existence since 1979 and all the other universities in the State have been participating in the procedures of COSFL, which is what it is known as, except for the University of Kentucky. We have been represented in an unofficial capacity by Paul Oberst, and those old timers among you will know who Paul is. He is former Board of Trustees member, former President of AAUP, an ex faculty member from the College of Law and probably if anybody could be called Mr. Faculty at UK it would be Paul Oberst. He has been

\*Absence explained

in touch with this group in an unofficial capacity since its formation. The Senate Council decided that we should be participating. Connie Wilson and I will be the representatives. It is an open forum to discuss common problems among the universities. There is almost no official action that they can take. In the past they have talked to the Council on Higher Education. They have made the concerns of faculty in higher education known, and there has been considerable antagonism because UK has not participated. The Council has decided that we will go to the meetings and take part in the discussion and try to be a little bit less aloof, especially during this period when we all need one another to some extent. It is a good way to exchange ideas. If anything significant or important comes up which needs formalized action, I will bring it before this body. As far as I know, COSFL has taken no such action since its inception. Their Chairman is Steve Smith who is in the Law School at the University of Louisville.

We do not have a formal report on selective admissions, but I can tell you some of the things the committee has been considering. They have been addressing the business of the exception pool. They are recognizing three categories which must be taken care of and those are: the people who have some unusual personal achievement; potential; concern for ethnic diversity in the student body; and concern for general diversity to include, for instance, non-traditional students, geographical location of applicants, and handicapped students. They are trying to work out some kind of formula to take care of those people. They have discussed the athletes and what their status will be. They will have a full proposal to circulate by January 20 or 25 so it will be a discussion item in February and an action item in March or if we cannot get finished haggling by March, certainly by April. We must take action on this next spring semester and probably we won't be able to reach a common agreement, but we have to start somewhere. The committee will have something to circulate by late January in time for study before the February 14 meeting.

Those are all the announcements I have."

The Chairman recognized Professor Douglas Rees for the first action item on the agenda. Professor Rees, on behalf of the University Senate Council, recommended approval of the proposed change in University Senate Rules, Section IV., 2.1.2, Admissions to Advanced Standing. This proposal was circulated to members of the Senate under date of November 12, 1982.

There were no questions or discussion and the proposal, which passed unanimously, reads as follows:

Background:

Following the recommendation of the Committee on Admissions and Academic Standards, the Senate Council proposed the following changes in University Senate Rules, Section IV, 2.1.2, Admission

to Advanced Standing.

Note: The proposed additions are underlined; the proposed deletions are bracketed.

Proposal:

The applicant for admission must present evidence that he/she is in good standing in every respect in the institution he/she last attended. At no time shall college or university records be disregarded to admit an applicant solely on the basis of his/her high school records. Credit hours for [baccalaureate degree] courses accepted from a junior college, or other two year colleges or branches, shall be limited to a maximum of 67 semester hours. [ He ] The applicant must have maintained a grade point average of 2.0 or an average of C in all previous [baccalaureate degree] collegiate level work.

[Baccalaureate degree credit ]All collegiate level work taken at a fully accredited college or university is recognized credit hour for credit hour except that the Dean of a College may require validation by appropriate means of course equivalencies or applicability toward degree requirements for more specialized courses. In order to be classified as fully accredited, a college or university must be a member of one of the six regional accrediting associations, such as the Southern Association of Colleges and Schools. Advanced standing from an unaccredited college or university may be obtained by special subject examinations or by validation under conditions set forth by the Dean of Admissions and Registrar and the Dean of the College in which the student will enroll. The applicability of the transfer work towards a university degree shall be determined by the Dean of the College in which the student enrolls.

Rationale:

Many well-qualified students apply for admission to advanced standing whose previous college-level work was toward an associate degree program or some related type of curriculum. Credits earned under those circumstances are not considered baccalaureate degree credits, and the Registrar has been unable to accept them. Likewise, deans have not been able to apply them toward a UK degree even though they may be entirely appropriate and reputable. The proposed change will make acceptance of such credits possible while maintaining due control over the quality of the work the credits represent.

Implementation Date: Spring Semester 1983

Note: The proposed change will be forwarded to the Rules Committee for codification.

Again the Chairman recognized Professor Douglas Rees. Professor Rees, on behalf of the University Senate Council, recommended approval of the proposed change in University Senate Rules, Section V., 4.2, regarding Commencement Honors. This proposal was circulated to members of the Senate under date of November 12, 1982.

Professor Rees said that a number of the departments were doing things in a different way, and the motion was to bring the writing in conformity with practice in order to permit departments an opportunity to have leeway in what they expect from a student in order to award honors. Chairman Ivey said that sociology had an honors project which the students had to declare midway through the final semester, and it involved a considerable amount of research. The department felt it was much more appropriate than having a comprehensive examination.

There were no questions or discussion and the proposal which passed unanimously reads as follows:

Background:

Following the recommendation of the Committee on Admissions and Academic Standards, the Senate Council proposed the following changes in University Senate Rules, Section V., 4.2, Commencement Honors.

Proposed: Delete Section 5, which reads:

A year's work shall be considered as a year's residence including a proportional part of the credit hours required for a degree.

Proposed: Delete Section 6, which reads:

The bachelor's degree with honors in the student's major will be conferred upon the student who, in addition to having completed the requirements for the degree, shall have:

- a. attained high standing in his/her major subject;
- b. passed with distinction a thorough comprehensive examination in the major subject; and
- c. been recommended for the said degree by the faculty of the department in which the major work was done and by the dean of the student's college. Students majoring in those departments that require the final comprehensive examination are automatically candidates for departmental honors.

Proposed: Replace Sections 5 and 6 with the following:

The bachelor's degree with honors in a student's major or a degree with honors from a professional college will be conferred upon a student whom the faculty of the student's department, or college in the case of a professional college, and the dean of the student's college recommend receive the degree. A student may be required to complete work in addition to that required for the bachelor's or professional degree to receive a degree with honors.

Rationale:

There are a number of departments where comprehensive exams are not required as a part of the procedure for being awarded a degree with honors. In such departments, a research project or some similar exercise is regarded as being more appropriate to the discipline. The changes suggested do not rule out a comprehensive examination. They do make other efforts possible.

Implementation Date: Spring Semester 1983

Note: The proposed change will be forwarded to the Rules Committee for codification.

The Chairman recognized Professor Douglas Rees for the final action item on the agenda. Professor Rees, on behalf of the University Senate Council, recommended approval of the proposed change in University Senate Rules, Section VI., 4.3.b and VI., 4,5, and 6, regarding reassignment of responsibilities for actions on suspension, dismissal or expulsion from the President to the Chancellors. This proposal was circulated to members of the Senate under date of November 29, 1982.

Professor Rees said that this change resulted from the recent changes in the University organization and related to a section involving plagiarism and cheating. What the rule states is that the President will no longer be the final resting point for such matters, but the Chancellor of the particular part of the University would be.

The Chairman said it was simply a reassignment of responsibility to the Chancellors rather than the President. He added there was a change which would come before VI,4 which is VI 3.3.e. which was simply an editorial change and reads as follows:

VI.3.3.e. Dismissal: termination of student status subject to the student's readmission. The conditions for readmission will be specified at the time of dismissal. The student may be readmitted to the University only with the specified approval of the [President] appropriate chancellor upon recommendation of the Appeals Board.

There were no questions or discussion and the proposal, which passed unanimously, reads as follows:

On the advice of the Senate Rules Committee, following a request from President Singletary, the Senate Council recommends the following changes in the University Senate Rules: (Note: deleted portions in brackets, added portions underlined)

VI., 4.3.b. If the student is enrolled in the college where the offense occurred, forward the file [through the appropriate vice president] to the [President] appropriate chancellor recommending a sanction of suspension, dismissal or expulsion.

In all subsequent references in Section VI., 4.5, and 6, to the responsibilities of the President, substitution of appropriate chancellor will be made.

VI., 3.3.e. Dismissal: termination of student status subject to the student's readmission. The conditions for readmission will be specified at the time of dismissal. The student may be readmitted to the University only with the specified approval of the [President] appropriate chancellor upon recommendation of the Appeals Board.

Rationale:

The changes indicated are a result of the reassignment of responsibilities for actions on suspension, dismissal or expulsion from the President to the Chancellors. This is an outgrowth of the change in administrative structure of the University. Since suspension, dismissal or expulsion stems from an academic offense, the Senate needs to approve the change in University Senate Rules, even though there is a recognizable overlap with administrative responsibility. Students will continue to have access to the University Appeals Board as in the past. The only change is to eliminate the President from decision and action in such cases.

Implementation Date: Immediately

Note: The proposed change will be forwarded to the Rules Committee for codification.

The meeting adjourned at 3:15 p.m.

Elbert W. Ockerman  
Secretary

Frank B. Stanger Jr.  
University Archive  
4 King Library Annex

0039

1



UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY  
LEXINGTON, KENTUCKY 40506

UNIVERSITY SENATE COUNCIL  
10 ADMINISTRATION BUILDING

November 12, 1982

TO: Members, University Senate

FROM: University Senate Council

RE: AGENDA ITEM: University Senate Meeting, Monday, December 13, 1982. Proposed change in University Senate Rules, Section IV, 2.1.2, Admission to Advanced Standing.

Background:

Following the recommendation of the Committee on Admissions and Academic Standards, the Senate Council proposes the following changes in University Senate Rules, Section IV, 2.1.2, Admission to Advanced Standing.

Note: The proposed additions are underlined; the proposed deletions are bracketed.

Proposal:

The applicant for admission must present evidence that he/she is in good standing in every respect in the institution he/she last attended. At no time shall college or university records be disregarded to admit an applicant solely on the basis of his/her high school records. Credit hours for [baccalaureate degree] courses accepted from a junior college, or other two year colleges or branches, shall be limited to a maximum of 67 semester hours. [He] The applicant must have maintained a grade point average of 2.0 or an average of C in all previous [baccalaureate degree] collegiate level work.

[Baccalaureate degree credit] All collegiate level work taken at a fully accredited college or university is recognized credit hour for credit hour except that the Dean of a College may require validation by appropriate means of course equivalencies or applicability toward degree requirements for more specialized courses. In order to be classified as fully accredited, a college or university must be a member of one of the six regional accrediting associations, such as the Southern Association of Colleges and Schools. Advanced standing from an unaccredited college or university may be obtained by special subject examinations or by validation under conditions set forth by the Dean of Admissions and Registrar and the Dean of the College in which the student will enroll. The applicability of the transfer work towards a university degree shall be determined by the Dean of the College in which the student enrolls.

Page 2

Senate Agenda Item: December 13, 1982 (IV., 2.1.2)  
November 12, 1982

Rationale:

Many well-qualified students apply for admission to advanced standing whose previous college-level work was toward an associate degree program or some related type of curriculum. Credits earned under those circumstances are not considered baccalaureate degree credits, and the Registrar has been unable to accept them. Likewise, deans have not been able to apply them toward a UK degree even though they may be entirely appropriate and reputable. The proposed change will make acceptance of such credits possible while maintaining due control over the quality of the work the credits represent.

Implementation Date:      Spring Semester 1983

/cet



RULES RELATING TO ADMISSION TO THE UNIVERSITY

IV. 2.1.2 (b) Admission to Advanced Standing  
Admission of all Other Students

(Note: Proposed additions are underlined; proposed deletions are bracketed)

The applicant for admission must present evidence that he/she is in good standing in every respect in the institution he/she last attended. At no time shall college or university records be disregarded to admit an applicant solely on the basis of his/her high school records. Credit hours for [baccalaureate degree] courses accepted from a junior college, or other two year colleges or branches, [shall] should be limited to a maximum of 67 semester hours. [He] The applicant must have maintained a grade point average of 2.0 or an average of C in all previous [baccalaureate degree] collegiate level work.

[Baccalaureate degree credit] All collegiate level work taken at a fully accredited college or university is recognized credit hour for credit hour except that the Dean of a College may require validation by appropriate means of course equivalencies or applicability toward degree requirements for more specialized courses. In order to be classified as fully accredited, a college or university must be a member of one of the six regional accrediting associations, such as the Southern Association of Colleges and Schools. Advanced standing from an unaccredited college or university may be obtained by special subject examinations or by validation under conditions set forth by the Dean of Admissions and Registrar and the Dean of the College in which the student will enroll. The applicability of the transfer work towards a university degree shall be determined by the Dean of the College in which the student enrolls.

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY

LEXINGTON, KENTUCKY 40506

UNIVERSITY SENATE COUNCIL  
10 ADMINISTRATION BUILDING

November 29, 1982

TO: Members, University Senate

FROM: University Senate Council

RE: AGENDA ITEM: University Senate Meeting, Monday, December 13, 1982. Proposed change in University Senate Rules, Section VI, 4.3.

On the advice of the Senate Rules Committee, following a request from President Singletary, the Senate Council recommends the following changes in the University Senate Rules: (Note: deleted portions in brackets, added portions underlined)

VI., 4.3.b. If the student is enrolled in the college where the offense occurred, forward the file [through the appropriate vice president] to the [President] appropriate chancellor recommending a sanction of suspension, dismissal or expulsion.

In all subsequent references in Section VI., 4,5, and 6, to the responsibilities of the President, substitution of appropriate chancellor will be made.

Rationale:

The changes indicated are a result of the reassignment of responsibilities for actions on suspension, dismissal or expulsion from the President to the Chancellors. This is an outgrowth of the change in administrative structure of the University. Since suspension, dismissal or expulsion stems from an academic offense, the Senate needs to approve the change in University Senate Rules, even though there is a recognizable overlap with administrative responsibility. Students will continue to have access to the University Appeals Board as in the past. The only change is to eliminate the President from decision and action in such cases.

/cet

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY

LEXINGTON, KENTUCKY 40506

UNIVERSITY SENATE COUNCIL  
10 ADMINISTRATION BUILDING

December 1, 1982

TO: Members, University Senate

The University Senate will meet in regular session on Monday, December 13, 1982 at 3:00 pm in the Classroom Building 106.

AGENDA:

- 1) Minutes: September 13 and November 8, 1982.
- 2) Chairman's Remarks
- 3) ACTION ITEMS:
  - a) Proposed change in Senate Rules, Section IV., 2.1.2 Admission to Advanced Standing. (Circulated under date of November 12, 1982)
  - b) Proposed change in Senate Rules, Section V., 4.2, Commencement Honors. (Circulated under date of November 12, 1982)
  - c) Proposed change in Senate Rules, Section VI., 4.3.b and VI., 4,5, and 6. (Circulated under date of November 29, 1982)
4. Other.

Elbert W. Ockerman  
Secretary

/cet