

Belinda

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY
LEXINGTON, KENTUCKY 40506

UNIVERSITY SENATE COUNCIL
10 ADMINISTRATION BUILDING

March 31, 1982

TO: Members, University Senate

The University Senate will meet in regular session on Monday, April 12, 1982 at 3:00 PM in room CB 106.

AGENDA:

1. Approval of Minutes of March 8, 1982.
2. Remarks by Chairman.
3. Action Items:
 - a) Revision of University Senate Rules, IV, 1.1. Readmission after two or more years. Circulated under date of March 30, 1982.
 - b) Proposed Reorganization of the College of Business and Economics. Circulated under date of March 29, 1982.
 - c) Proposed change in Admission Criteria for the College of Nursing, University Senate Rules, IV., 2.1.6. Circulated under date of March 29, 1982.

Elbert W. Ockerman
Secretary

/cet

MINUTES OF THE UNIVERSITY SENATE, APRIL 12, 1982

The University Senate met in regular session at 3:00 p.m., Monday, April 12, 1982, in Room 106 of the Classroom Building.

James Kemp, presided.

Members absent: Richard Alvey, James Applegate*, Michael A. Baer*, Charles E. Barnhart, James C. Beidleman, Jacques Benninga*, William H. Blackburn, Jack C. Blanton, Peter P. Bosomworth*, Robert N. Bostrom, Connie A. Bridge*, Britt Brockman, James Buckholtz, James T. Burch, Robert Calmes*, Michael D. Carpenter*, Ralph Christensen*, Donald B. Clapp, D. Kay Clawson*, Glenn B. Collins*, William L. Conger*, John Conklin, Clifford J. Cremers*, Gary L. Cromwell*, Charles Cunningham*, George Denemark, David E. Denton*, Philip A. DeSimone, Louis Diamond, Donald F. Diedrich*, Richard C. Domek*, Joseph Dougherty, Herbert N. Drennon, Jeff Dwellen, Anthony Eardley, Roger Eichhorn*, Graeme Fairweather, Charles H. Fay, Rodney Flynn, Paul G. Forand, Walter C. Foreman, Wilbur W. Frye*, Joseph Fugate*, James L. Gibson, Charles P. Graves, Joseph Hamburg, S. Zafar Hasan, Debbie Hertelendy, Donald Hochstrasser*, Raymond R. Hornback, Alfred S. L. Hu*, Eugene Huff*, Gilbert Joehl, Cheryl Jones, John J. Just, David T. Kao, Richard I. Kermod*, Edward J. Kifer*, Theodore A. Kotchen*, Shea Lair, Stephen Langston, Teresa Leslie, Thomas P. Lewis, Carolyn G. Litchfield*, Rey M. Longyear, Paul Mandelstam*, James R. Marsden*, Debbie Martins*, Emanuel Mason, Joseph L. Massie, William L. Matthews, Sally S. Mattingly*, Martin McMahon*, John M. Mitchell, Patricia Montgomery, David S. Newburg, P. J. O'Connor, Bobby C. Pass*, Leonard K. Peters*, John J. Piccoro*, Janét Pisaneschi*, Peter Purdue, Robert Rabel, Herbert G. Reid*, Phillip Roeder, Terry Rothgeb, George W. Schwert, Eugenie C. Scott, D. Milton Shuffett*, Otis A. Singleary*, Jesse E. Sissen, John T. Smith, David A. Spaeth*, Edward F. Stanton*, Marjorie Stewart*, John Thompson, Lee T. Todd, Harold H. Traurig*, S. Sidney Ulmer*, James H. Wells, Charles Wethington, Ralph F. Wiseman*, Alfred D. Winer*, Nadine Wright

The Minutes of the Meeting of March 8, 1982, were approved as circulated.

Chairman Kemp began the meeting with the following remarks:

"As this is my last meeting to act as your presiding officer, I should have a farewell address. However, farewell addresses, especially those that are remembered, usually have catchy phrases so I had better not attempt to make one. I suspect all of you remember the words of General MacArthur's farewell address when he said, 'Old soldiers never die, they just fade away.' I wish I could say old Senate Council Chairmen never die, but we all know we can't escape that fate. Also, we can't escape the other situation that faces us this week, the paying of our taxes. Since I can't escape that and can't escape paying my taxes, I will just fade away. However, since my colleagues elected me to another term in the Senate, I will be with you with a light that may be dim, but not entirely faded.

Since this is my last time to preside, I want to thank all of you for being a good group with which to work. I have attempted to approach the position with a positive attitude at a time when it is not always easy to be optimistic. In my first meeting as your Chairman I suggested that

we accentuate the positive. I still believe in that approach. Not only do we need to take advantage of the power of positive thinking, we need to go a step further and capitalize on the power of positive action. I challenge you to put your effort behind those things which are positive, think about them, put your ideas into action and we can make this a better place for students, faculty and administrators.

There are several information items I want to pass on to you before we get into the business of the day. First, the new officers for the Senate Council for next year are: Chairman, Donald Ivey; Chair elect, Douglas Rees. The President, with advice from the Senate Council, has appointed search committees for the positions of Dean of Extension and Dean of Education. Those committees are already working to select new deans. Dr. Robert Heath has been appointed as Director of the Computing Center. As you know, the Senate passed a resolution requesting that this position be filled by an academic person, and we are happy that this has happened. The Council has approved the activation or changes in several programs including a program in the College of Allied Health Professions, Health Services Administration. Approval of the reactivation of the four-year nursing program and the new admissions standards will be dealt with today. The Council has also approved some changes in the degree requirements in the College of Arts and Sciences. A few objections were raised but these have been resolved and as far as I know no further objections have come in. All of these changes have been circulated to you as members of the Senate.

Some other things that are pending before the Senate Council include a review of the Honors Program; review and possible expansion of the use of CLEP and other testing programs to give college credit for outside work; and a more clear and exact definition of what constitutes a financial exigency and the role the Senate should play when we have to deal with such a situation.

Week before last I had the opportunity to represent you in Atlanta along with Vice President Zumwinkle and Associate Vice President Sands in a meeting dealing with the retention of black students sponsored by the Office of Civil Rights. We will be dealing with that shortly.

Balloting for the election to the Senate has been completed, the results are being tabulated and will be known in a few days. After the new Senate roster is known, you will receive a letter and a request for you to give your preference for a committee. As you may know, there will be fewer standing committees in the Senate next year and also non-Senators may be members of some of these committees.

However, at least fifty percent of the members of the committees and its Chairperson will be a member of the Senate. I think those are the information items."

The Chair recognized Professor William Wagner who gave a report as a faculty member of the Board of Trustees.

Dr. Wagner spoke to the Senate as follows:

"I will give a brief report on the meeting that the Faculty Advisory Committee had with the staff of the Council on Higher Education on March 16. The faculty trustees meet with the staff about a month before the Council meets. However, the Council meeting was postponed in April. One item brought up was faculty salaries. In the past the Council made a recommendation to the universities suggesting what the salary increments should be. This time they decided not to do so since this would vary from institution to institution. They didn't want to go out on a limb telling institutions what salary increments they should get. On the long range prospects and issues from the Prichard Committee report, one of the recommendations of that committee was for selective admissions which this University is obligated to come up with some sort of a plan to be presented to the Council later. Also, there will be considerable interaction because of this report with the pre-college training in the high school so there is coordination going on in that area. The Legislature apparently did not pass the fund which the Prichard Committee recommended for excellence in the institutions. Apparently the funding for the Council on Higher Education was decreased. That can either be good or bad depending on how you feel about the Council on Higher Education. It probably will mean some cutback in some of the things they have been doing. There have been some changes made in the membership of the Council. I think they have changed the term of office to four years and also there is a stipulation that at least one member must be from each regional institution. This may mean that it might be a little tougher for our University to be ganged up on by some of the members from the regionals. That remains to be seen.

At the last Board of Trustees meeting the President presented some information on the budget. One good thing that came out of the Legislative Session was that the mission formula funding base is intact. You will remember there was a disagreement with the regional institutions on whether the mission formula would be used for funding the institutions. This did remain intact and the University did get the original dollar amount that was proposed by the Council. One change in the University budget is that the Community College has been put down as a line budget item. This will have some influence in that it will be impossible to shift funds to the Community Colleges when needed.

The Pharmacy Building was approved. The funds were also made available for the new Mining Building out of a separate Mining Engineering Trust Fund rather than a straight appropriation for that. There was a management bill wherein more responsibility was given back to the University for purchasing. A lot of the purchasing will not now have to go through Frankfort. This should make it less cumbersome. That also applies to some construction budget too.

Two bills were defeated. One was about the income from the money in the various foundations. Some legislators were upset over the golf course at Murray funded from Foundation funds. Also there was some question concerning the fund balances. They wanted to try to prevent institutions from carrying over fund balances. That also was defeated. There was some concern about the Tobacco and Health Institute. It did remain intact.

Kentucky does not have to play Louisville in basketball, unless they want to.

There was one item that came up at the Board meeting concerning the proposed amendment to the Governing Regulations. This has to do with the change in the Governing Regulations pertaining to the academic assignments. They are now made on the academic year, nine month, ten month and twelve month basis. The recommendation now has an additional one of an eleven month appointment. The rationale back of that is that an additional option of academic assignments on an eleven month basis is needed urgently in at least one college to accommodate academic programs more effectively and to provide a means to deal with critical fiscal problems. I believe that college is Allied Health. If any of you have any comments on that and will give them to Connie or me, we will present your views.

Sometimes Connie and I hear comments such as, 'Why doesn't the Board of Trustees do something about the University's problems?' We have been getting some bad publicity from the local papers recently. I guess my answer is that we are not sure we want the Board to do too much about these matters. These are pretty much internal matters and should be solved administratively. I think knowing who appoints the Board of Trustees and also some of the members who are appointed, I am not sure that their primary interest is in the academic program of this institution. I think we would find if they dabbled too much in our internal affairs, we may regret it rather than think they might solve some of the problems. Perhaps we need more faculty participation in governance."

Chairman Kemp thanked Professor Wagner for his remarks.

Chairman Kemp recognized Professor Donald Ivey for the first action item on the agenda. Professor Ivey, on behalf of the University Senate Council, recommended Revision of University Senate Rules, IV, 1.1., Readmission After Two or More Years. This proposal was circulated to members of the Senate under date of March 30, 1982. Professor Ivey said there were two areas that caused the rewriting of the rule. There was a question if one semester meant a full semester or twelve hours or just a semester in school. The intent is to make it a full semester. This has to do with the bankruptcy provision where a semester can be deleted from a student's record.

The floor was opened for questions and discussion. There were no questions and the proposed change in University Senate Rules, IV, 1.1 passed unanimously and reads as follows:

Proposed: [The deleted portions are in brackets. The added material is underlined.]

Section IV, 1.1 Readmission after two or more years

- a. An undergraduate student who has been readmitted through the usual channels after an interruption of two or more years, and who has completed at least one semester (12 credit hours) with a grade point standing of 2.0 or better after readmission may choose to have [all or] none of his previous University of Kentucky course work counted toward graduation and toward the computation of his grade point standing.
- b. In addition, the dean of the student's college may permit such a readmitted student who has elected not to count his past work, receive credit for selected courses without including those grades in the computation of his grade point standing.

Rationale: The rule, as presently worded, is not clear. The new wording attempts to clarify the rule.

The Chairman recognized Professor Donald Ivey. Professor Ivey, on behalf of the University Senate Council, recommended approval of the Proposed Reorganization of the College of Business and Economics. This was circulated to members of the Senate under date of March 29, 1982.

Chairman Kemp said that there were several different changes and the Senate Council preferred to take them as a group unless there were objections. The floor was opened for questions and discussion. Professor Canon wanted to know if the Senate needed to get involved as to the number of Associate Deans a College had and what they did. Professor Kemp said that was a part of the reorganizational proposal, and the Committee on Academic Organization and Structure dealt with it. Professor Grimes' response was that there were several pieces to the reorganization. One part was administrative and the other part was the creation of several new departments out of the Department of Business Administration which is being subdivided into three departments. Therefore, it became an academic issue that should go before the Senate. He said that was the

logic of the proposal. Professor Canon wanted to know if the Senate approved the proposal would it lock in Deans and duties forever or just departments. Professor Grimes responded that it just so happened at the time the administrative structure was being changed the academic units were also being changed. The package just came together but ordinarily this would not happen.

The proposal, which passed unanimously, reads as follows:

Background:

The Senate Council, with the advice of the Committee on Academic Organization and Structure and upon the recommendations of Vice President Gallaher and President Singletary, recommends that the College of Business and Economics be reorganized.

The organization structure would change from the present structure, Exhibit 1, to the proposed structure, Exhibit 2. [Exhibits follow]

The major proposed changes are:

1. Increase the number of departments from three (3) to five (5):

<u>Present:</u>	<u>Proposed:</u>
Accounting	Accounting
Economics	Economics
Business Administration	Marketing
	Finance
	Management and Policy


2. Consolidate the overall responsibility for graduate studies into one office with one Director of Graduate Studies but with program directors in each department.
3. Expand the duties of the Associate Dean for Research to include extension activities and change the title to Associate Dean for Research and Extension.
4. Establish a position of Associate Dean for Development and abolish the position of Associate Dean for Continuing Education (now vacant).
5. Realign the organizational structure flow chart, particularly as it pertains to the Associate Dean for Academic Affairs so that it is understood that the delegation of authority is in agreement with the Administrative Regulations, Governing Regulations, and Rules of the University Senate.


The reorganization will take place after it has been approved by the Board of Trustees and it is administratively feasible.

Rationale:

The reorganization is more in line with organizational structure of leading Colleges of Business and Economics. It will simplify and clarify the responsibilities of the administrative personnel.

EXHIBIT 1
 CURRENT ORGANIZATION STRUCTURE
 COLLEGE OF BUSINESS AND ECONOMICS





It will give more visibility to and more nearly describe the training of graduates in the new departments. It will strengthen the position of Director of Graduate Studies so there will be more coordination among program directors. By expanding the role and title of the Associate Dean of Research to include Extension there will be more coordination between research and extension activities. The establishment of the position of Associate Dean for Development will allow closer contacts with and should help establish closer ties among faculty, students, alumni, business and industry.

The reorganization also is necessary to help maintain accreditation by the American Assembly of Collegiate School of Business (AACBS). This group was on campus in December and was favorably impressed with the proposed reorganization.

The last action item was the proposed change in Admission Criteria for the College of Nursing. Again Chairman Kemp recognized Professor Donald Ivey. Professor Ivey, on behalf of the University Senate Council, moved adoption of the Proposed Change in Admission Criteria for the College of Nursing, University Senate Rules, IV., 2.1.6. This proposal was circulated to members of the Senate under date of March 29, 1982.

The floor was opened for questions and discussion. Professor Canon asked if the proposal had been sent to the Committee on Admissions and Academic Standards. Chairman Kemp said that it had the committee's approval. Professor Jewell's understanding was that the Senate would not be voting on the new academic program. Dr. Kemp said that was approved by the Senate Council and has been circulated to the Senate. He added that the proposal was a reactivation of the program that was in effect a few years ago. Professor Jewell asked if it were in order to raise questions about the academic program. Professor Kemp told him if the questions were germane to admissions, they would be in order.

Professor Jewell wanted some explanation from Nursing as to why the new admissions standards and the accompanying four-year program had been pushed through in such a hurry. He said that he would like some reasoning about why it was happening so fast. Dean McKenna said that nothing had been done precipitately. She said the proposal had gone through the Academic Council of the Medical Center which took two months. She added that when Nursing went to the Council in 1977 to ask for permission to have a baccalaureate program for registered nurses, it was a two-year program. The reason the four-year program was stopped was because Nursing was told from every resource possible that they would be inundated with nurses. The University could not support the four-year program and the generic program at the same time, so Nursing asked that the four-year program be discontinued. The college has not been inundated with applicants. Of those applicants seventy percent are part-time students. There is now a serious shortage of registered nurses. Given the fact that Nursing does not have the number of applicants they were led to believe they would have, and because they believe Nursing has an obligation to educate nurses in Kentucky, the college would now like to constitute a four-year program. In Dean McKenna's judgment the College of Nursing was not wrong in stopping the prior program. She felt the program proposed to the Senate Council is one of the finest they have ever come up with. She also felt the programs in the College of Nursing have been strengthened by reintroducing the four-year program.

Professor Jewell said he was generally sympathetic of the direction the College was moving, but it still seemed to him it had been rushed through and wanted to know if the program had gone to the academic program committee. Chairman Kemp said it did not because it was a reactivation and the rules state, "a new program" and this was not

deemed a new program. Dean McKenna said that it did not have to go to the Council on Higher Education.

Professor Lyon said he was not clear what must and must not come before the Senate. He wanted to know if the Senate Council approved the program in principle. He wanted to know how to get from "in principle" to adopting admissions criteria to adopting students that would be on a status other than being admitted "in principle." Chairman Kemp said that "in principle" was the first meeting and then the Council adopted the program for transmittal to members of the Senate for comment. If there are objections, the Council tries to resolve them. It is mandated that any admissions criteria go through the Senate.

Professor Ogletree said he was confused about the process used and wanted to know if the program had been subjected to a feasibility study. Professor Kemp responded that the program did have the approval of the Medical Center and all of the Councils, but it did not go to the President. Professor Gesund felt the Senate didn't have to do anything because at the time the four-year program was discontinued it was left open to the College of Nursing to reverse its stand and come back with a regular four-year program. Dean McKenna said that was correct and what Nursing wanted to do was reactivate the program. Chairman Kemp said that it would not involve any new facilities or faculty. Dean McKenna said that Nursing had the potential for 140 full-time equivalent students but had not achieved that but with the additional students, they would use their resources properly.

A question was asked concerning a clarification on the admission criteria for the types of students. Dean McKenna said the generic students are the freshmen who continue through the four years and then would write the licensing examination to become a registered nurse. The diploma graduate already has a license to practice and some academic credit and therefore is a transfer student. The registered nurse is a graduate from the associate degree program. The other portion of individuals that have been provided for are the graduates from an associate degree program that have not yet written the license examination. They have had no experience as a registered nurse and meet the requirements of a four-year student.

There were no further questions. The Admission Criteria for the College of Nursing passed unanimously and reads as follows:

Proposed:

The College of Nursing enrollment will be composed of generic students, associate degree nursing graduates and diploma nursing school graduates.

Approval of the admission of all students into the University of Kentucky is under the authority of the Dean of Admissions and Registrar. Admission to the University does not guarantee admission to the College of Nursing.

Admission to the junior year will be regulated so that the total number of full-time equivalents does not exceed 140. Students in the junior year are drawn from all sources: generic students, transfer students, and R.N. students. An overall grade point average of 2.5 on a 4.0 quality scale is required of all students admitted to the junior year in the College of Nursing. Preference will be given to Kentucky residents.

Admission criteria for three (3) types of students are as follows:

- A. Freshman students seeking admission to the College of Nursing will be admitted if their ACT Composite Score is at the 50th percentile on national norms, and if they have a high school grade point average of 2.5 on a 4.0 scale.
- B. Non-R.N. transfer students may transfer credit according to University policy but must meet all College of Nursing requirements.
 1. Transfer students with less than 24 hours of college credit must meet the criteria for entering freshmen and, in addition, must have an overall grade point average of 2.5 on all college work attempted (as computed by the University of Kentucky Admissions office.)
 2. Transfer students with more than 24 hours of College credit must have a 2.5 overall grade point average on all college work attempted (as computed by the University of Kentucky Admissions office.)
 3. All students admitted to the program prior to licensure must follow the generic tract. Nursing courses taken in an associate degree or diploma program are considered lower division courses and are not equivalent to upper division courses offered in this program.
- C. Registered nurse students applying for entry into the upper division of the College of Nursing must meet the following requirements:
 1. Registered Nurse licensed to practice in Kentucky.
 2. Graduate with an Associate Degree in Nursing from a college accredited by the regional branch of the Association of Secondary Schools and Colleges. The registered nurse who is a graduate of a diploma program will be considered for admission after earning a minimum of 60 college credits* which meet the following requirements:
 - English--6 semester credits
 - Natural Sciences--10 semester credits
 - Behavioral Sciences--6 semester credits
 - Nursing--28 semester credits
 - Electives--10 semester hours

*These credits may be earned from a regionally accredited college by taking the courses or by examination, i.e., challenge, equivalency, such as ACT, PEP (Proficiency Examination Program.)

3. An overall grade point average of 2.5 on a 4.0 scale in all course work attempted as computed by the University of Kentucky Admissions Office.

All applicants must be in a good state of health and able to carry out the functions of the professional nurse. After acceptance for admission the applicant will be required to obtain a physical exam, update immunizations and obtain a tuberculin test or x-ray as appropriate.

Part-time Study:

A student who wants to work toward the completion of the B.S.N. degree on a part time basis must plan his/her program with the College of Nursing upon admission and may not alter that plan without prior approval from the College of Nursing.

Candidates for the degree who do not complete all requirements within a seven year period (five years for R.N.'s) will have their records reevaluated and may be required to repeat or take selected courses.

All applications and transcripts for Fall admission must be received by the University of Kentucky Admissions Office no later than June 1; for Spring admission, no later than October 15; registered nurse students and non-registered nurse transfer students may be admitted Fall and Spring. Freshmen will be admitted in the Fall only. All applicants will be notified regarding admission. Those accepted for admission must notify the College within 30 days, in writing, of their intent to enroll.

Background:

A revised four year degree program in the College of Nursing has been approved by the Academic Council for the Medical Center, the Undergraduate Council and the Senate Council. In connection with this program are admissions criteria which have been approved by the Admissions and Academic Standards Committee and the Senate Council. These criteria need Senate approval. These criteria will replace any existing admission requirements and will become effective in the Fall of 1982.

Note: The proposed admissions criteria will be sent to the Senate Rules Committee for codification.

Chairman Kemp said there would be no May meeting unless there was a need. He said that the Senate Council would act on the pending items with the Senate's permission and would approve the candidates for the May degrees. He told the Senate how much he had enjoyed being Chairman.

There was no further business and the meeting adjourned at 3:45 p.m.

Elbert W. Ockerman
Secretary of the Senate

The revised calendar for the 1982 Fall Semester of the College of Law is being circulated for your information.

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY
COLLEGE OF LAW
CALENDAR

1982 Fall Semester

August 23 Monday - Registration
August 24 Tuesday - Add/Drop
August 24 Tuesday - Last day a student may officially drop a
course or cancel registration with the registrar
for a full refund of fees
August 25 Wednesday - Class work begins
August 31 Tuesday - Last day to add a class for the Fall Semester
August 31 Tuesday - Last day to officially withdraw from the Uni-
versity and receive an 80% refund
September 6 Monday - Labor Day - Academic Holiday
September 8 Wednesday - Last day for payment of registration fees in
order to avoid cancellation of registration
September 15 Wednesday - Last day to change grading option (credit
to audit or audit to credit)
September 15 Wednesday - Last day to drop a course without it appearing
on the student's transcript
September 17 Friday - Last day for reinstatement of students cancelled
for non-payment of registration fees. Requires payment
of registration fees plus \$50.00 reinstatement fee
September 23 Thursday - Last day to file an application for a
December degree
October 18 Monday - Last day to drop a course
October 18 Monday - Last day to withdraw from the University or
reduce course schedule and receive any refund
November 8-17 Monday through Wednesday - Advance Registration for
the 1983 Spring Semester
November 25-27 Thursday through Saturday - Thanksgiving Holidays -
Academic Holidays
December 1 Wednesday - Deadline for submission of application and
receipt of all materials for admission, readmission
or transfer into Spring Semester 1983
*December 3 Friday - End of class work
*December 4-8 Saturday through Wednesday - Law Examination Reading
Period
*December 9-21 Thursday through Tuesday - Law Final Examination Period
*December 21 Tuesday - End of Fall Semester

*Denotes changes

mg/2-4-80

REVISED 4-12-82

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY
LEXINGTON KENTUCKY 40506

UNIVERSITY SENATE COUNCIL
10 ADMINISTRATION BUILDING

March 29, 1982

TO: Members, University Senate

FROM: University Senate Council

RE: AGENDA ITEM: University Senate Meeting, Monday,
April 12, 1982. Proposed Change in Admission Criteria
for the College of Nursing, University Senate Rules, Sec-
tion IV., 2.1.6.

Proposed:

The College of Nursing enrollment will be composed of generic students, associate degree nursing graduates and diploma nursing school graduates.

Approval of the admission of all students into the University of Kentucky is under the authority of the Dean of Admissions and Registrar. Admission to the University does not guarantee admission to the College of Nursing.

Admission to the junior year will be regulated so that the total number of full time equivalents does not exceed 140. Students in the junior year are drawn from all sources: generic students, transfer students, and R.N. students. An overall grade point average of 2.5 on a 4.0 quality scale is required of all students admitted to the junior year in the College of Nursing. Preference will be given to Kentucky residents.

Admission criteria for three (3) types of students are as follows:

- A. Freshman students seeking admission to the College of Nursing will be admitted if their ACT Composite Score is at the 50th percentile on national norms, and if they have a high school grade point average of 2.5 on a 4.0 scale.
- B. Non-R.N. transfer students may transfer credit according to University policy but must meet all College of Nursing requirements.

[continued]

1. Transfer students with less than 24 hours of college credit must meet the criteria for entering freshmen and, in addition, must have an overall grade point average of 2.5 on all college work attempted (as computed by the University of Kentucky Admissions office).
2. Transfer students with more than 24 hours of college credit must have a 2.5 overall grade point average on all college work attempted (as computed by the University of Kentucky Admissions office).
3. All students admitted to the program prior to licensure must follow the generic track. Nursing courses taken in an associate degree or diploma program are considered lower division courses and are not equivalent to upper division courses offered in this program.

C. Registered nurse students applying for entry into the upper division of the College of Nursing must meet the following requirements:

1. Registered Nurse licensed to practice in Kentucky.
2. Graduate with an Associate Degree in Nursing from a college accredited by the regional branch of the Association of Secondary Schools and Colleges. The registered nurse who is a graduate of a diploma program will be considered for admission after earning a minimum of 60 college credits* which meet the following requirements:

English--6 semester credits
Natural Sciences--10 semester credits
Behavioral Sciences--6 semester credits
Nursing--28 semester credits
Electives--10 semester credits

3. An overall grade point average of 2.5 on a 4.0 scale in all course work attempted as computed by the University of Kentucky Admissions Office.

*These credits may be earned from a regionally accredited college by taking the courses or by examination, i. e., challenge, equivalency, such as ACT, PEP (Proficiency Examination Program).

Page 3

Senate Agenda Item: Nursing Admissions

March 29, 1982

All applicants must be in a good state of health and able to carry out the functions of the professional nurse. After acceptance for admission the applicant will be required to obtain a physical exam, update immunizations and obtain a tuberculin test or x-ray as appropriate.

Part-Time Study:

A student who wants to work toward the completion of the B.S.N. degree on a part time basis must plan his/her program with the College of Nursing upon admission and may not alter that plan without prior approval from the College of Nursing.

Candidates for the degree who do not complete all requirements within a seven year period (five years for R.N.'s) will have their records reevaluated and may be required to repeat or take selected courses.

All applications and transcripts for Fall admission must be received by the University of Kentucky Admissions Office no later than June 1; for Spring admission, no later than October 15; registered nurse students and non-registered nurse transfer students may be admitted Fall and Spring. Freshmen will be admitted in the Fall only. All applicants will be notified regarding admission. Those accepted for admission must notify the College within 30 days, in writing, of their intent to enroll.

Background:

A revised four year degree program in the College of Nursing has been approved by the Academic Council for the Medical Center, the Undergraduate Council and the Senate Council. In connection with this program are admissions criteria which have been approved by the Admissions and Academic Standards Committee and the Senate Council. These criteria need Senate approval. These criteria will replace any existing admission requirements and will become effective in the Fall of 1982.

Note: If approved, the proposed admissions criteria will be sent to the Senate Rules Committee for codification.

/cet

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY
LEXINGTON, KENTUCKY 40506

UNIVERSITY SENATE COUNCIL
10 ADMINISTRATION BUILDING

March 29, 1982

TO: Members, University Senate

FROM: University Senate Council

RE: AGENDA ITEM: University Senate Meeting, Monday
April 12, 1982. Proposed Reorganization of the College
of Business and Economics.

Background:

The Senate Council, with the advice of the Committee on Academic Organization and Structure and upon the recommendations of Vice President Gallaher and President Singletary, recommends that the College of Business and Economics be reorganized.

The organizational structure would change from the present structure, Exhibit 1, to the proposed structure, Exhibit 2. [See attached]

The major proposed changes are:

1. Increase the number of departments from three (3) to five (5):

<u>Present:</u>	<u>Proposed:</u>
Accounting	Accounting
Economics	Economics
Business Administration	Marketing
	Finance
	Management and Policy

2. Consolidate the overall responsibility for graduate studies into one office with one Director of Graduate Studies but with program directors in each department.
3. Expand the duties of the Associate Dean for Research to include extension activities and change the title to Associate Dean for Research and Extension.

[continued]

4. Establish a position of Associate Dean for Development and abolish the position of Associate Dean for Continuing Education (now vacant).
5. Realign the organizational structure flow chart, particularly as it pertains to the Associate Dean for Academic Affairs so that it is understood that the delegation of authority is in agreement with the Administrative Regulations, Governing Regulations, and Rules of the University Senate.

The reorganization will take place after it has been approved by the Board of Trustees and it is administratively feasible.

Rationale:

The reorganization is more in line with organizational structures of leading Colleges of Business and Economics. It will simplify and clarify the responsibilities of the administrative personnel.

It will give more visibility to and more nearly describe the training of graduates in the new departments. It will strengthen the position of Director of Graduate Studies so there will be more coordination among program directors. By expanding the role and title of the Associate Dean of Research to include Extension there will be more coordination between research and extension activities. The establishment of the position of Associate Dean for Development will allow closer contacts with and should help establish closer ties among faculty, students, alumni, business and industry.

The reorganization also is necessary to help maintain accreditation by the American Assembly of Collegiate Schools of Business (AACBS). This group was on campus in December and was favorably impressed with the proposed reorganization.

/cet

Attachments-2

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY
LEXINGTON, KENTUCKY 40506

UNIVERSITY SENATE COUNCIL
10 ADMINISTRATION BUILDING

March 30, 1982

TO: Members, University Senate

FROM: University Senate Council

RE: AGENDA ITEM: University Senate Meeting, Monday
April 12, 1982. Proposed Change in University Senate
Rules, IV, 1.1 Readmission after two or more years.

Proposed: [The deleted portions are in brackets. The added material is underlined.]

Section IV, 1.1 Readmission after two or more years

a. An undergraduate student who has been readmitted through the usual channels after an interruption of two or more years, and who has completed at least one semester (12 credit hours) with a grade point standing of 2.0 or better after readmission may choose to have [all or] none of his previous University of Kentucky course work counted toward graduation and toward the computation of his grade point standing.

b. In addition, the dean of the student's college may permit such a readmitted student who has elected not to count his past work, receive credit for selected courses without including those grades in the computation of his grade point standing.

Rationale:

The rule, as presently worded, is not clear. The new wording attempts to clarify the rule.

/cet