

Debit	Interest	Total	Payment.	Balance.
22000	202550	2402550	3500	2052550
2052550	197390	2249940	3500 1st	1899940
19000	191550	2091550	3500	1741550
1741550	186504	1928054	3500 2nd	1578054
1578054	180782	1758836	3500	1408836
1408836	174859	1583695	3500 3rd	1233695
1233695	168729	1402424	3500	1052424
1052424	162385	1214809	3500 4th	864809
864809	155818	1020627	3500	670627
670627	149022	819649	3500 5th	469649
469649	141988	611637	3500	261637
261637	134707	396344	3500 6th	46344
46344	127172	173516	3500	176484
41850			1850	4000000
40000	1200	41200	3500 7th	37700
37700	1131	38831	3431	35400
35400	1062	36462	3562	32900
32900	987	33887	3487 8th	30400
30400	912	31312	3512	27800
27800	834	28634	3434 9th	20200
25200	756	25956	3574 10th	22400
			18	

The above table shows the result of the debt of the company at the end of ten years, under a rental of \$7000.= per annum as has been offered. After the red figure balance, the rent is utilized in this table to reduce the bonded debt, by semi-annual calls of such bonds as are drawn by lot, according to the custom in such cases, bringing the bonded debt at the end of ten years to \$22,400.= The red balance has been increased in the first payment on bonds, but the difference would have been more than made up by quarterly payment of int.

The proposition to foreclose the mortgage on the property of the Barru Fork No. 6. Co has been presented to the bond-holders, but no proposition has been presented whereby this procedure might be obviated, or why in fact the consummation thereof would not work the greatest good to the greatest number. Let us look the matter over. The bonds held are \$29,900 = of these the Sinking Fund Committee hold \$2,000 = which are in point of fact the joint property of all the stock holders; the debt of the company outside of the bonds, less a cash payment that could be made, is about \$22,000 = The interest on the bonds to May let is \$13,156 = making total debt \$65,056 = or an amount equal to one-half of the capital stock, and therefore any purchaser would be more than likely required to pay about that amount at the foreclosure sale. If the property were so bought by a syndicate of bond-holders those who hold bonds in amount equal to one-half of their stock would save their interest, those who had less would sacrifice their interest pro-rata, while those who had more would increase their interest in the re-organization and it is in fact only to the interest of those who occupy this last named position that the foreclosure should be insisted on. While it might at first glance appear that the stockholder who holds bonds in the proportion of 1. to 2. of stock could not be affected, let us state the case from this view. The intent is to sell the property, Does it not stand to reason that a property whose bonds are out, the interest on which has been paid up in full, and the future interest secured by a lease of the property at a price per annum that will not only pay the interest but reduce the debt in ten years to \$22,400 = would find more ready sale than one that had been closed out, at sheriff's sale, for non-payment of interest on its bonded debt. (This proposition presumes that the present bond-holders will take bonds for the past-due coupons.) A proposition to take a lease, as set forth in the table, with good and sufficient bond for the faithful performance of the terms thereof has been submitted to the Directors for their consideration; The accompanying table shows the status of the company's debt semi-annually during the term of the lease, and the result at the end thereof, and after that a 5% paying stock beyond per-adventure.

Will you give the matter careful consideration? Weigh all the points well, and decide which is best.

Yours respectfully  
W. P. Bright.

1

Gentlemen stockholders of the Boston First Mining & Coal  
Company.

The <sup>arraignment contained</sup> indictment implied in the postal card addressed  
to the stockholders and containing the call for the present  
meeting requires that I should state what are the  
grounds on which I have acted and the reasons therefor.  
I waive all reference to the petulant tone and temper of the  
language of the  
call, and to the rather disingenuous attempt of the  
secretary to prejudice the minds of the stockholders in  
advance of the meeting now convened.

I was not present at the adjourned meeting of the  
stockholders on the evening of February 26, which  
formed the rather illogical sequel of that called  
for the 29 of January preceding. An unexpected  
incident prevented my being present, though had  
I known that so momentous a proposition as the  
change of charter, a change involving the organic  
existence of the Corporation would be submitted  
I should undoubtedly have been present. So  
extraordinary a step without due notice having

been given never entered into my mind. Whether a  
 strict interpretation of the bye laws required it or  
 not common prudence would have suggested  
 that the stockholders should have had previous notice  
 of the business to be submitted in order that a  
 fair exchange of views might have been had, in a  
 full meeting of the policy or impolicy of the <sup>proposed</sup> new  
 departure. So much impatience existed to have the new  
 Charter hurried forward to its birth that although  
 on the following day I addressed a note to the Secretary  
 of the Board of Directors, in language entirely respectful  
 commending a prudent caution and opportunity for  
 conference my note remained <sup>unacknowledged and</sup> unannounced, and my  
 knowledge & guidance of the contents of the proposed  
 Charter I had to obtain from fragments instead of  
 from the natural source of information at home.  
 After some days however I learned its contents and  
<sup>requested</sup> gave notice to the Judiciary Committee to whom it  
 was referred to allow me to be present when the  
 Board of Directors presented their argument for its

adoption. The Judiciary Committee extended this  
 courtesy which I had not received from the Secretary  
 of the Board of Directors and on last Friday the  
 11<sup>th</sup> inst I had the pleasure of seeing the document  
 read and commented upon by the Secretary of the  
 Board of Directors. The argument of the Secretary did  
 not remove the objections which <sup>had</sup> addressed themselves  
 to me when I read the document myself, and when  
 allowed the opportunity I presented these objections as  
 briefly and as pointedly as I could with the result  
 that the Judiciary Committee rejected the proposed  
 Charter unanimously and directed the Secretary to  
 prepare and present a charter on which the stockholders  
 could agree. The Secretary was also informed that  
 irrespective of the objections which I had presented  
 the charter whose acceptance he might <sup>never</sup> would <sup>if</sup>  
 it <sup>did</sup> pass the Legislature undoubtedly be vetoed  
 by the Governor on legal and constitutional  
 grounds. From this point of view therefore as well  
 as from my own an indiscreet haste would have

proved fatal to the new charter.

But what were <sup>my</sup> the objections to the new charter?

They may be summed up in half a dozen words.

The new charter would have created a directory absolute and irresponsible, nominally the servant but really the master of the stockholders.

By it they were authorized to make and make, alter and amend the byelaws without reference to the stockholders and without their knowledge consent or advice.

By it they were authorized to create a debt equal to two thirds the authorized capital which by the terms of the instrument was \$500,000—

By it they were authorized to mortgage the property of the company for any amount within the limits of the debt which they were authorized to create, and this without the knowledge advice or consent of the stockholders.

Thus within one year or ten years or at any time at the option of the Directory, a debt equal to \$333,000 might be created by them and the

assets of the Company mortgaged for that amount. By the power over the bye laws, the Directors might change the place of the Annual Meeting of the Company, and give or withhold any information at their discretion. No action of the ~~own~~ stockholders could compel or require financial exhibits or know anything about the policy receipts & expenditures assets or liabilities of the Company. I do not say that the directors would refuse, but in the event that they chose to go their own way and do this own way no authority existing in the stockholders could require or compel them to do otherwise.

But it may be said that the directors exist but for one year and a new one could be elected amenable to the wishes of the stockholders. Theoretically this is true. But infinite mischief might be done between the beginning and the end of one financial year, a mischief altogether beyond remedy. To the retention of the franchises of the Company I had no objection, though I saw little likelihood of any advantage immediate or prospective from the

possible railroads steamboats wharves docks &c so vividly portrayed on paper. Another did I object to an authorized capital of \$500,000 or 5,000,000 if any gentleman member of the company wished to send in such expansive ideas. But I did object to the authority to create a debt an actual bona fide obligation in dollars and cents equal to two thirds of \$500,000, and I did object to the power vested in them to mortgage the property of the company for a debt thus created.

I said to the committee and I repeat it here tonight that the charter if passed in that form would hand over the stockholders bond head and foot into the power of a board of directors who might do with the property of the company what they pleased. The franchises of the company were enlarged, but their franchises by the act which created and enlarged them were like I recommended to a board created by the same act with absolute and irresponsible powers. I did not question the disclaimer of the



Secretary that the Board had no intention to use or  
 abuse such extraordinary powers. What I did question  
 was the justice and the expediency of conferring  
 such powers upon any board however immaculate  
 they might be and however good their intentions.  
 Any board which controls <sup>as this does</sup> "11/26" of the stock of a company  
 and which can always control a sufficient number  
 of proxies to elect themselves is already possessed of  
 a power which may be made dangerous to the interests  
 of the minority. When this power to create a debt  
 was limited to \$50,000 they transcended this  
 authority and created a debt exceeding that amount  
 to a degree that nearly swamped all the stockholders  
 more than a year ago.

I advocated before the Committee the following  
 amendments

- 1<sup>st</sup>. That the number of directors be increased from  
 five to seven.
- 2<sup>nd</sup>. That all alterations or amendments of the bye laws  
 affecting the interests of the stockholders, ~~or the~~

should be valid only when ratified by the stockholders at this annual meeting or at a meeting called for that purpose due notice being given and the object of the meeting being stated in the call

3<sup>rd</sup> That a debt might be created not exceeding two thirds of the paid up capital, by the consent of a majority of the stockholders.

4<sup>th</sup> That no mortgage should be laid on the property of the Company without the consent of a majority of the stockholders at their annual meeting, or at a called meeting, due notice having been given and the object of the meeting having been stated in the call.

I have always thought that any business whether individual or corporate should be carried on as nearly as possible on a cash basis, and that in proportion as one departs from this salutary and wholesome principle of individual or corporate management, in just such proportion vices and complications and disasters are probable. A great financial genius may take

heroic ~~into~~ ventures, but even he does so at his peril.  
 In seasons of commercial distress and financial panic  
 these adventurous spirits are generally the first to go to  
 the wall. Now should that operations propitiated on  
 the possible creation of a debt of two thirds of any  
 fictitious basis whether it be half a million or a  
 million and a half is radically sound and without  
 bottom. Let the Authorized Capital stand at half a  
 million or a million or any possible amount which  
 may please the elastic fancy of the Company or  
 the board. Let them have the authority to increase  
 the Paid up Capital to any amount within these limits.  
 If a profitable field of investment is probable,  
 whether by the discovery of petroleum or in the  
 establishment of sawmills, or the building of railroad  
 steamboats wharves or bridges that probability can  
 surely be made apparent to the stockholders and  
 the general public and no difficulty can be  
 had in inducing and creating and retention of  
 the capital stock, paid up. The authority to create

debt will then keep pace with the extension of the operations of the company on a paid up basis. If the Paid up Capital increases by the creation of additional bona fide stock, the ability ~~ability~~ <sup>ability</sup> to create debt is correspondingly enlarged. If the Paid up Capital stock is diminished by the retirement of stock through the its purchase by the company, then the power to create debt is correspondingly diminished. But of these matters and probabilities let the Stockholders and not the Board of Directors only be the judges, and with them let the responsibility rest.

For myself I am content to go slow and will be satisfied with a <sup>modest</sup> moderate six per cent on my investment leaving the magnificent visions of rail roads and steam ships tunnels and bridges docks and wharves to the more imaginative fancies of the Board of Directors. Other gentlemen whose business experience <sup>extended operations are with its promise</sup> warrants dreams of such <sup>a</sup> golden harvests.

The argument that what would be merely a dormant  
 privilege to us might enhance the value and  
 desirability of the property if opportunity for sale  
 occurred I look upon as worthless. Any future  
 company who might become the owner of the property  
 by purchase or foreclosure could easily induce  
 the legislature of Kentucky to suppress "Raid  
 up" and substitute "Antony" if they wanted  
 to enjoy the luxury of contracting a large debt.  
 At any rate I am not in favor of saddling  
 the present corporation with an incubus basin  
 to create them to get rid of when created for  
 the sake of any prospective advantage to  
 any one person whether by purchase or  
 foreclosure. If the property is to be retained and  
 worked profitably it must be by economy, and still  
 on sound financial principles. If a profitable  
 sale or a sale involving the least possible loss is to be  
 made its value will be little if any increased by the  
 existence of illusory privileges which any new corporation can  
 obtain without cost for itself.

1

The Malady from which the Barron Fork Mining & Coal Company is suffering is too much stock and too little value. One part of this stock consists of shares for which par value was paid, all the par value of which inured to the benefit of the Company. Six hundred of such shares exist which represent \$60,000 cash value the benefit of which went to the Company. There are in addition to these seven hundred shares representing a nominal \$70,000, but actually costing if my information be correct not more than one fourth and possibly even less of that sum. Some of these shares passed out of the hands of the original holders of stock and are now held by others representing other not present holders a cash expenditure of par or upwards, but in other respects as regards benefits accruing to the Company representing only their original cost. There are however still in the hands of stock holders ~~300~~ <sup>several</sup> ~~three~~ <sup>hundred</sup> ~~or thereabouts~~ <sup>shares</sup> more or less of shares of original stock, representing on the books of the Company par value, but which realized to the Company and contributed to its existing assets not more than one fourth probably of their par value.

When the Company was formed the original proprietors doubtless believed that the stock upon the basis of which they began operations would in a short time if it did not then, represent

actual value. That when vitalized by the proceeds  
 of three hundred shares sold at par it would  
 yield a handsome dividend. If such expectations  
 had been realized for a reasonable period, no one  
 could have found fault with the result. <sup>Indeed, result</sup> The ~~fact~~  
 would have been the proof that these original  
 shares represented a real and not a fictitious value.  
 But how stands the case with the Barron Fork  
 Mining & Coal Co today. With a nominal capital  
 of \$100,000 represented by 1000 shares the Co. began  
 operations. The plan suggested of borrowing \$35,000  
 secured by the property was either impracticable or  
 abandoned and the stockholders found themselves  
 under the necessity of placing ~~30,000 \$20,000~~ 20  
 300 shares of the company upon the market  
 and applying the proceeds to the development of the  
 enterprise. Fortunately or unfortunately the  
 public had not the same experience in such  
 stocks then which it has now and all the  
 stock offered was readily taken. The proceeds  
 of the sale was applied to the development of  
 the mine. After a time it was discovered  
 that the proceeds accruing from the sale  
 of stock were insufficient for this purpose  
 and a meeting of stockholders was called  
 to authorize the issue of more stock. The  
 proposition was to increase the capital stock  
 to \$50,000 and it was alleged that this would  
 be ample to place the company on its feet,

with all the most approved machinery and  
 appliances for output and transportation to  
 the C.S.R. \$30,000 of this stock was taken by  
 the two credulous stock holders, and applied in  
 the direction indicated above. Besides the  
 expenditure of the first \$30,000 and the second  
 \$30,000 the Company is now called upon  
 to meet a debt of \$65,000, \$15,000 in excess  
 of the chartered powers of the Co. Company or  
 of the Directors. From this brief and not only  
 this but all the earnings of the Co. amounting to  
 thousands of dollars, amounting last month for  
 example to \$2,600 have been absorbed. It  
 would be interesting to know how much has  
 been earned and how much has been absorbed  
 in the maintenance of this ~~de~~ ever increasing  
 debt. I suppose it is not an unreasonable  
 estimate that \$10,000 have been thus absorbed.  
 This added to the \$65,000 which the Company is  
 now called upon to provide for represents an  
 actual expenditure of \$75,000.  
 The results as indicated by these figures show  
 that the Original stock holders greatly miscalculated  
 overestimated the intrinsic value of these  
 700 shares. When the Capital stock  
 stood at \$100,000, \$30,000 of which was cash the  
 remainder the real estate, the real estate, that  
 which was estimated at \$70,000 was 70 per  
 cent estimated value of the whole. When the Capital



stock was increased to \$130,000, The real estate estate  
 that which was still estimated at \$70,000 stood at  
 34 per cent of the whole. At this stage the amount  
 of miscalculation or overestimation was measured by  
 the difference between 70 per cent and 34 per cent.  
 Now when \$75,000 more has been expended with  
 no return to the stock holder making the aggregate  
 cost \$205,000, the the real estate still estimated  
 at \$70,000 is only 34 per cent of the whole.  
 Originally it was ~~two and one third times~~ <sup>two</sup> the estimated  
 value of the whole, now it is as represented by  
 its par value less than 1/20 of the whole. The  
 conclusion is inevitable that this real estate was  
 overestimated, put in at a price what has turned  
 out to be a fictitious value, being nothing like the  
 value it purports to be, or in other words that  
 the disease from which the company now suffers is  
 too much stock and too little cash value.  
 Now the company is asked to shoulder the additional  
 burden. The par value paying stockholders here  
 as the figures show contributed disproportionately  
 thus far to the development of the enterprise. They  
 are now asked to continue this process still further.  
 They are asked not only to ~~con~~ contribute additional  
 powers and additional money, to lift the company  
 out of debt, but to bring in doing this to raise  
 to a value equal with their own the ~~fictitious~~ stock  
 which has never had any other than a fictitious  
 value and which has been an incubus and a

dead weight upon it. For myself I am  
 willing to aid in granting additional powers by  
 amended charter, and to contribute by my means to  
 help the Company out of its financial embarrassment,  
 provided these burdens be equitably laid, provided  
 this stock which has only a fictitious value and which  
 intrinsically never had any other than a fictitious  
 value be cut down to its cash value as measured  
 by its original cost. If this cannot be done  
 and a reorganization cannot be effected on this  
 basis then I insist that stock which cost its  
 holders par or upwards be made preferred stock  
 and that all the twenty and twenty five cent  
 stock ~~and~~ take a back seat  
~~and~~ while the stock which cost par,  
 the dividend paying stock, if any dividend can  
 ever be made, ~~make~~ <sup>earn</sup> enough to pay itself  
 respectable dividends. If the figures of Captain Wilgus  
 are right, the holders of original stock in consenting  
 to this would only be consenting to a postponement of  
 dividend for a short time and not to the  
 relinquishment of their stock, if not then the  
 Company would be rid of the incubus which is  
 now on it, with <sup>little or</sup> no sacrifice of any actual  
 expenditure. Then all would fare and share in  
 proportion to the cash paid and all would have  
 an equal chance of getting out of the concern what  
 he paid in, letting the illusory stock go the way  
 of all other illusions.

The stock holders meeting of Barren  
Fork M+G Co Jan'y 10. was adjourned  
to Jan'y 13. at 7 1/2 o'clock P.M. at  
Miller and Gough's Store. The bus-  
-iness to be transacted is important.

W. Knight  
Secretary

*Chicago*  
J. M. Graham  
*P. 16  
1872  
St. Louis  
1870-71*

Leaving college in 1871 to accept position as Transitman on the survey in Northern Indiana,; was engaged on various surveys until 1873 when I was made Assistant Engineer of the Grayville & Mattoon Railroad. A year later was made Assistant Engineer of the Bedford & Bloomfield Railroad, and after 1875 was Chief Engineer of that Road. From 1876 to 1881 was Chief Engineer of the Dansville, Olney & Ohio River Railroad. From 1881 to 1882 was Chief Engineer of the Chicago, Texas & Mexican Central Railway. From April 1882 to April 1883 was General Superintendent of the Dansville, Olney & Ohio River Railroad. From April 1883 to 1887 was Superintendent and Assistant General Superintendent of the Northern Pacific Railway. In 1887 was made General Manager and Chief Engineer of the Northern Pacific & Manitoba Railroad, which position I held until 1891, when I accepted position as Superintendent of the Baltimore & Ohio Railroad, and was later made General Superintendent of that line. In 1899 was made Chief Engineer of the Baltimore & Ohio Railroad, and occupied that position until January 1, 1904, when I was made Vice-President of the Erie Railroad Company.

N 4

Vol 3-3-

Smoky No 28-

Block A

On basis of White Pine

13 miles East from center of County

Lead Scrap 130

Original Grantee Central &

Montgomery R.R. Co.

Hence relinquished to Freeman

Freeman 18 Nov 1879

Hence to Robt. McTuller