

Two Speeches sent to President Oswald  
January 1965 (Mrs. F. L. Adams) ?

## The Norman Conquest and its relations to Civil & Religious Liberty

On the 14<sup>th</sup> of Oct. 1066 was fought the battle of Hastings one of the great battles which have changed the whole course of the world's history. Its consequences are still felt not only in England but among all the nations, whether independent like our own or dependences like Canada and Australia, ~~thence~~ which owe their origin to England, And its effects are not confined to these but are felt by all who have been brought within the sphere of the relationship which Great Britain and the Great Republic sustain to universal civilization.

Harold whom William of Normandy defeated on the field of Senlac was not of the royal line of Cerdic and Egbert. He succeeded the Confessor not by virtue of royal appointment nor by of royal descent, but being the most powerful noble in England as well as the most popular was elected by the witanage not to the exclusion alike of Edgar Atheling grand-nephew of the Confessor, and William of Normandy his second cousin this and appointee by will, though claim by descent he had none,

On the accession of ~~Harold~~ William determined to fight for the crown left him by his cousin Edward the Confessor and demanded Harold to acknowledge him as his lawful sovereign, He gathered from his own Norman Barons and their retainers as well as from France Flanders and the German empire an army formidable alike from its ~~numbers~~ discipline and courage and numbering 60,000 men, Harold at the time of the threatened invasion from Normandy was called to meet one only less formidable in the North led by his renegade brother Godwin and the renowned Harold Hardrada of Norway. There he defeated with immense slaughter at Stamford Bridge in Yorkshire

September 28<sup>th</sup> and hurried south to meet the Normans who had meanwhile landed on the shores of Sussex. His brothers Gnarh and Leofwine endeavored to dissuade him from giving battle and advised him to protract the war by harassing the enemy, ~~destroying~~ devastating the country and cutting off supplies. But his impetuous spirit, stimulated by his late success in the North would brook no delay. The field of Senlac was fought; all day the battle raged and Saxon and Norman grappled in deadly conflict with battle ax and spear. Neither could advance further was forced to yield till William fearing flight drew his less way weary antagonists from his entrenchments, then turning <sup>round</sup> ~~back~~ the down down with his cavalry or forced them back <sup>behind</sup> ~~onto~~ their <sup>Saxon</sup> palisades where they died sword in hand. The English army was ~~exterminated~~ but vanquished and England lay at the feet of the Conqueror. William was crowned in Westminster Abbey on the Christmas following and became the first of a line of sovereigns whose descendants still fill the throne of Great Britain. More than two centuries elapsed before the Saxon and the Norman were fused <sup>fused</sup> into one. The vanquished nobles were ~~dispossessed~~ <sup>Barons</sup> of the lands which became the rewards of the ~~Barons~~ who accompanied William to the English thrones. The Saxon nobles and priests were driven <sup>driven</sup> from ~~their~~ sees and benefices to make way for Norman Bishops and Monks. For some time justice was administered in the foreign tongue and Englishmen found themselves aliens in the land of their birth, but by degrees the Saxon tongue in the struggle for existence ~~dimin~~ held the central field, Saxon laws ~~had~~ maintained their footing and in process of time conquerors and conquered <sup>spoke but</sup> one speech, the

The noble language of Chaucer and Shakespeare of Bacon and Burton  
of Longfellow and Lummis, and were judged by but one code  
of Laws, the laws of Ina and Alfred of Edward <sup>and William</sup> <sup>1104</sup>, the  
jurisprudence of Littleton and Blackstone of ~~Story~~ <sup>Language & Law</sup> and Kent.  
The basis of the English tongue is today not Norman but Saxon  
and the basis of British and American ~~law~~ jurisprudence not  
the Roman Code but the Common Law. But while in the  
~~struggle for existence~~ <sup>language & law</sup>  
~~constitution~~ <sup>for existence</sup> ~~was of this~~ the older held its ground ~~to~~ <sup>largely</sup>  
~~modified by the foreign influences~~ <sup>time</sup>. The stream remained the same  
but was ~~turned~~ <sup>channeled</sup> into a new channel, the most important of  
these modifying influences and the only ones to which we can now  
add - at least were two, the altered relations of the church and  
the introduction of Hierarchy and the Law of Princogentia,  
Since the introduction of the Benedictines by St Dunstan in the reign  
of Edgar 100 years before the conquest the English Church had been  
no closer dependent dependence upon the See of Rome. The Pope  
Urging Pontiff Alexander II with the view of rendering its dependence  
still more complete espoused the cause of William and pronounced  
Harold a perfidious usurper and blessed the expedition before it  
left the shores of Normandy. Some years after the coronation  
Gregory VII - Hildebrand - summoned him to do fealty for  
the realm of England. William returned a peremptory refusal, none  
of his ancestors had done fealty for these dominions and he would  
give up no old right. Hildebrand knew the man with whom  
he had to deal and pressed him no further. The independent  
spirit of the Normans supported by their insular position steadily  
resisted the encroachments of the Papal See and developed the  
opposition to the pretensions ~~to~~ <sup>long afterward</sup> of the Roman church which found  
~~expressive~~ <sup>of</sup> the free spirit of English of herkshire and the open  
~~condemnation~~ <sup>connection</sup> of Papal abuses by the Lollards. Suppressed for a time by

4

the Lancastrians the spirit of resistance thus rooted passed beyond sea where it found expression through John Huss and Jerome of Prague and finally blazed forth in the Reformation led by Luther and Calvin Knox and Fugitive. To such a source was the great Reformation due, ~~the asserting~~  
~~the outbursting~~ <sup>assertion</sup> of the right of private judgment, the ~~emancipation~~  
Emancipation of the human intellect from ~~eternal~~ servile  
Submission to dogma, and the inauguration of the splendid  
era of liberative science and art which will make these  
centuries forever glorious.

The French system introduced into England by the Conqueror differed in many respects from that which obtained on the Continent. Domesday book completed the year before his death, shows that with scarcely an exception all the great Saxon Proprietors had been dispossessed of their land. These were given to the Knights and Barons who accompanied him from Normandy. On the ~~Continent~~ the Chief Barons held directly from the sovereign ~~the same~~ All who held lands in England whether ~~whether~~ Barons in chief or lesser Barons swore fealty to the King. On the Continent only the Chief Barons swore fealty to the sovereign while the lesser ones swore fealty to those from whom they immediately held.

But the most important in its consequences was the law of primogeniture. On the Continent where division of estates and the law of inheritance were regulated only by the feeling or caprice of the possessor, all the heirs male inherited the rank and title of the deceased. The consequence was in time the multiplication of an impotent nobility whose estates were

makequate w<sup>t</sup> the support of their rents, The more they increased the  
smaller the estates upon which they depended for support became and  
the greater burdens in sent were laid upon the non-proprietary  
Cultivators, The Nobility ~~were~~<sup>became in successive generations</sup> poorer and poorer, and the  
peasantry more abjectly dependent. There was no middle class  
bridge of over the gulf between the noble and the serf.  
In England on the other hand, only the eldest son inherited  
the titles and estates of his ancestors. The younger sons though  
Lords by Contry were in reality only gentlemen, These last  
formed the nucleus of the great middle class in England,  
~~This~~<sup>which</sup> ~~was~~ recruited in successive ages from the nobility and  
from those who rose out of the ranks of the Peasantry ~~more numerous~~  
~~in the course of time~~ formed a class ~~far greater than the~~  
~~upper class~~ ~~numbers~~ and richer than the ~~peasantry~~ lower.

The great Barons in time limited by extorted concessions  
the royal prerogative, But the ~~Constitu~~ Constitutions of  
the Gloucestre and the Magna Charta of Runny made  
though obtained by the nobles could not be kept in  
this exclusive possession, what the Barons obtained from  
Henry and John, the middle class ~~were~~ won from  
the Barons, and what the middle classes wrested from the  
Barons ~~has~~ in these later years been conceded to them whom  
the reform Bills of 1832 and 1867 brought within this pale.  
English political society - from the time of the Conqueror consisted of  
a series of successive strata - lower middle and higher which  
shaded off into each other without ~~any~~ <sup>and</sup> abrupt transitions.  
Continental political society of only a higher and a lower with  
no intermediate gradation to bridge the chasm which became wider  
every age century. The <sup>infused</sup> recognition of Constitution in the great rebellion

4

and the Revolution of 171688 were the fruits of the former,  
the wars of the Laguerre and the French Revolution with all  
its austerities, the thirty years war and the continental upheavals  
consequent upon the volcanic outburst of 1789 were the fruits  
of the latter. In the former Parliamentary government, Habeas  
Corpus, Trial by Jury and <sup>Freedom of the Press</sup> Municipal freedom. In the  
latter centralized despotism ~~Bureau~~ Bureaucracy, a  
muzzled press, Habeas Corpus and Trial by Jury unknown.  
In the former the few existing for the many in the latter  
the many for the few.

Before ~~the~~ <sup>our</sup> ~~the~~ <sup>our</sup> year of independence the history of Britain and  
the development of Constitutional government ~~are~~ <sup>are</sup> ours also.  
Upon Magna Charta and the Bill of Rights we found  
our charters of freedom, Shakespeare & Bacon writers  
and Locke are ours by a common inheritance.

All the characteristics of Englishmen before ~~the~~ <sup>our</sup> Revolution and  
we possess in an eminent degree, ~~The people~~ <sup>nations</sup> who speak  
the English tongue are in the lead civilization, they possess  
the fire and ~~clan~~ <sup>and heroic endurance</sup> of the Celt, the patient pains-taking industry  
of the German and the indomitable courage and resistless energy  
of the Norman, such a happy combination exists in no  
other people. There are the people Briton and American  
who are destined to rule the world, and from the  
Norman Conquest by Duke William do they derive the  
grand principles of civil and religious liberty to which  
they owe their greatness.

Late Speaker of the Commons

The death of Sir John Evelyn Drury Viscount Essington, which occurred at Exeter on the 6<sup>th</sup> inst. will occasion deep and wide spread regret. He had presided with eminent ability and distinction in over the British House of Commons as Speaker for nearly fifteen years and had until his retirement in 1872 served the Country, most of the time in the Commons, since 1824 where he first entered Parliament. Though by no means what might be called a brilliant man, he was ~~admirably~~ solid honest and safe. His classical education obtained at the most illustrious and most <sup>Chapt. Church</sup> learned of the twenty four Colleges which make up the Corporation called the University of Oxford, his diligent habits of study after he graduated as B. A. in 1823, and his thorough acquaintance with the history of Parliamentary precedent made him one of the best Speakers the House ever had. His knowledge of mankind was increased by the numerous protracted tour which he made with Lord Stanley afterwards the famous Earl Derby probably the hand of Homer and the "Report of Debate" as Mr Disraeli happily characterized his impetuous eloquence, with Lord Wharncliffe, and Lord Palmerston through the United States and the Good Canadas in 1824. There are yet living men in Kentucky who remember with pleasure the elegant culture and graceful courtesy of this noble aristocratic trimmurate during the brief stay they made within the limits of our own state. On his return home Mr Drury remained in Parliament till he was promoted by the Ministry of the young and gifted Canning to a seat at the Admiralty Board. During the great struggles which accompanied the agitation for Catholic Emancipation he acted a prominent part uniformly supporting the friends who advocated concession of Catholic Claims. Upon the death of Mr Canning he retired from the Board of Admiralty and though his services were in request by several succeeding Administrations preferred the independence which freedom from the care of office gave him as an independent Member of Parliament and 1830 he was elected Member for Hastings and in 1831 after the death of Mr Hesketh he was offered his constituency, he was elected accordingly for Liverpool, but being returned also for Nottingham chose to sit for the latter. He sat as Member for Merton during two parliaments and was elected for North Nottingham in 1837. On the retirement of the Shaw Lefevre who had served as Speaker for 18 years, and who was now transferred to the Upper House as Lord Bonsley, Mr Drury was unanimously chosen Speaker of the Commons in 1837 and again in the Parliaments which met in 1839, 1846 and 1848. After an uninterrupted service as Speaker for 15 years he resigned February 8<sup>th</sup> 1872 and was succeeded with a pension of £4000, and a seat in the House of Lords with the title of Viscount Essington. His lordship died in the 73<sup>rd</sup> year of his age respected by all who knew him. There was no man within the four seas better acquainted with Parliamentary precedent than he, scarcely a decision was ever appealed to the House and we do not remember that any of his rulings failed to be sustained.

Formerly the Speaker of the House of Commons got no salary. This accounts for the last in the long list of qualifications mentioned by one of the prime occupiers of the Speaker's Chair in the older time. "A Speaker" said he "ought to be a big man big and comely, stately and well spoken, his voice great, his carriage majestic, and his pance plentiful and heavy". This was said when a Speaker's revenue was mainly derived from fees and when the Compensation bill was by no means rare. One one occasion in the reign of William of Orange Sir John Trevor Speaker of the House had a bill of £1000 placed before him and had to endorse the implication of putting a motion before the House for his own expenses. It is no wonder that he took no time immediately after the passing of the bill. The Speaker is now amply provided for by a salary of £5000 per annum and a pension on retirement if he chooses to accept it.

Though still technically called Speaker there are few who know the origin of the term. Its origin as of that of the functionary who bears it may be traced to the principle of Mutual Selection. The House of Commons where existence may be dated from Simon de Montfort was originally a crowd of men summoned from different parts of the Country to bargain with the King about supplies and obtain concessions and redress of grievances as a quid pro quo for the money voted for his Majesty's exigencies. There began a spokesman who should come up hard & bargain as possible, give as little and obtain as much as possible. A stiff tongue, adroit and smooth in manner covering up a good deal of moral courage and inflexibility of purpose were the necessary qualifications for the post, but frequently one of danger in the presence of self-willed and arbitrary monarchs. If large sums were obtained it was generally in consideration of redress of grievances and not infrequently hard words were spoken both by the sovereign and the worthier - Speaker - of the Commons. The Commons would choose their own Speaker, but it was natural that they should choose one personally agreeable to the Sovereign. Hence the customs, now in established precedent of presenting the Speaker when elected to the Sovereign for approval. We remember only one occasion on which the Sovereign set aside the choice of the Commons. When Parliament assembled in 1679 the King Charles II denied the choice & fell on Sir Thomas Merves, but the Commons elected Seymour the Speaker of the last Parliament - a man formerly obnoxious because during the former Parliament he had presented articles of impeachment against the Earl of Clarendon further in case of the Duke of York afterwards James II and brother of the King. Accordingly when presented Seymour was rejected, both Merves and Seymour were withdrawn and Gregory chosen instead.

As the House of Commons rose into importance the original duty of the Speaker, which was, as may be gathered from what we have said something analogous to that of foreman of a jury, has been merged in those of presiding over the deliberations of the House. The Speaker has now no chance to speak except to decide points of order and say who has the floor. He has seldom to address the Sovereign, seldom to present the thanks of the House to eminent persons for distinguished service and seldom to write an offendour at the bar. There is a tradition that two in the days when Speakers spoke one infidelity a two hours speech on Queen Elizabeth and another a speech of four hours on King James the "British Solomon". If the Speaker is necessarily absent the House of Commons adjourns. This is done on the ground that a uniformity of ruling is required that the continuity of precedent be not broken. If the Speaker ship will put in Commission <sup>inquiry</sup> of disrepute would be endangered. Cabinet-Ministers may come and go during a sitting of the House, members may be received but the Speaker must sit on. Speaker Curzon once sat in the Chair for 17 hours.

Great deference is shown him, and an invitation to dine with the Speaker is second in honor only to one to dine with the Sovereign. The House can not do business with less than 40 members, but the Speaker is not allowed to take notice that less than 40 are present unless a Member calls his attention to it. Not even if every member left can he move an adjournment of the House, and it is said that actually on one occasion he was directed without a formal motion for adjournment and had written to some one came to his relief ~~as~~ <sup>at</sup> this time as of the moderate force of custom in Rome where the removal of the flag from Tiberius would put a stop half legislative proceeding in the Capitol and bring the whole machinery of government to a stand still. He cannot inflict punishment on a member but he is entitled to "name" and offending member, which done, the person "named" will be put out by the Sergeant-at-Arms unless he withdraws. Members are where he enters the House, how where they pass the Chair, and take off their hats when they meet him, when the House adjourns the cry "who goes home" is supposed to common an escort of men who attend him to his home. There are many more quaint old customs still existing and carry us back in English history hundreds of years.