

irrelevant considerations are race, color, religion, sex, national origin, political affiliation, or activities outside the classroom that are unrelated to the course work.

E. EVALUATION OF STUDENT CHARACTER AND ABILITY

A student has the right to have his character and ability evaluated only by individuals with a personal knowledge of him. Records containing information about a student's character and ability shall indicate when the information was provided, by whom, the position of this individual, and his qualification for evaluating the student.

Motion was made and seconded that if consideration of the Report had not been completed at 5:30 p.m., the Senate recess and reconvene at 7:30 p.m.

Call for a quorum was then made. A count revealed the lack of a quorum. The Senate then proceeded as a Committee of the Whole as a result of the action which it had previously taken.

Motion was made and seconded to adjourn. The Committee of the Whole defeated this motion.

Motion was made and seconded to recess the Committee of the Whole at 5:30 and reconvene at 7:30 p.m. This motion was defeated.

Motion was then made and approved that the Committee of the Whole adjourn. This motion was approved.

The Committee of the Whole adjourned at 5:25 p.m.

Kathryne W. Shelburne
Recording Secretary

MINUTES OF THE UNIVERSITY SENATE, NOVEMBER 3, 1969

The University Senate met in special session at 4:00 p.m., Monday, November 3, 1969, in the Court Room of the Law Building. In the absence of Chairman Ogletree, Vice Chairman Pisacano presided. Members absent: Staley F. Adams, Charles Auvenshine*, Harry V. Barnard, H. Martin Blacker*, L. L. Boyarsky, Wallace N. Briggs*, Herbert Bruce*, C. Frank Buck*, Cecil Bull, Marion A. Carnes*, Clyde R. Carpenter*, Virgil L. Christian, Jr., Maurice A. Clay*, Arthur L. Cooke, Robert L. Cosgriff*, Tihamer Z. Csaky*, Charles F. Davis, III, Jesse DeBoer*, David E. Denton, R. Lewis Donohew, W. G. Duncan, Fred Edmonds*, Jess L. Gardner, Stephen M. Gittleson*, George W. Gunther, Michael D. Hall, Alfred S. L. Hu*, John W. Hutchinson*, John E. Kennedy, Melvin J. Lerner, Albert S. Levy, Rey M. Longyear*, Richard Mark*, Richard V. McDougall, Michael P. McQuillen*, Theodore H. Mueller, Jacqueline A. Noonan, Louis A. Norton, Horace A. Norrell, Richard P. O'Neill, Harold F. Parks*, Muriel A. Poulin*, William R. Proffit, Leonard A. Ravitz, John W. Roddick, Arthur W. Rudnick, Jr.*, John W. Schaefer, Rudolph Schriels, George W. Schwert, Ralph Shabetai, Gerard E. Silberstein*, William G. Survant*, Duane N. Tweeddale*, William F. Wagner*, David R. Wekstein, David C. White*, Raymond A. Wilkie, W. W. Winternitz, J. D. Wirtschaffer, Donald J. Wood, Otis A. Singletary, Alvin L. Morris, William R. Willard*, George J. Ruschell, Glenwood L. Creech,

Minutes of the University Senate, November 3, 1969 (Cont'd.)

Stuart Forth, Lewis W. Cochran, Lawrence A. Allen, Charles E. Barnhart, Harry M. Bohannon, Marcia A. Dake*, George W. Denemark, Harold D. Gordon, Charles P. Graves, Joseph Hamburg, Ellis F. Hartford, Raymon D. Johnson, William S. Jordan, Jr.*, Elbert W. Ockerman*, Leonard V. Packett*, John C. Roberston*, Wimberly C. Royster, Doris M. Seward, John L. Sutton, Joseph V. Swintosky*, Ernest F. Witte, Timothy R. Futrell, Winston E. Miller.

The Senate approved the requests of Jeannie Leedom and Jean Renaker, reporters, and Dick Ware, photographer, all of the Kernel, to attend, report, and photograph the meeting.

The Senate resumed consideration of the Report of the University Senate Advisory Committee on Student Affairs. The Chair recognized Dr. Plucknett who stated that the Senate Council had directed him to apprise the Senate of its concerns relative to the Ombudsman, namely:

1. The title of Faculty Ombudsman;
2. the method of appointment;
3. the wording of the assignment;
4. the wording of the jurisdiction;
5. inconsistencies between some statements in the procedure and the authority;
and
6. the assignment of authority which the Senate does not have.

With these concerns before the Senate, Dr. Plucknett then presented a motion that the Senate adopt Section II. THE FACULTY OMBUDSMAN - contained in the Report of the University Senate Advisory Committee on Student Affairs dated October 13, 1969, for forwarding to the Rules Committee for codification and inclusion in the Rules of the University Senate. This recommendation was seconded.

On behalf of the Senate Council, Dr. Plucknett then presented an amendment that wherever "Faculty Ombudsman" appears in the document, it be changed to "Academic Ombudsman". The Senate approved this amendment.

Dr. Plucknett presented an amendment to strike paragraph A. APPOINTMENT - from Section II and replace with the following new paragraph:

The Academic Ombudsman shall be a tenured faculty member nominated by the Senate Council after due consultation with the Cabinet of the Student Government, the Executive Committee of the Graduate and Professional Student Association, and other appropriate student groups as well as with the President. His nomination shall be subject to approval by the Senate and his appointment made by the President of the Senate.

This amendment was seconded.

Motion was made and seconded to amend the amendment to strike "after due consultation with" from the first sentence of the proposed amended paragraph A and insert the phrase "from a list submitted and/or approved by . . ." The Senate defeated this motion.

The Senate then defeated the original amendment by a vote of 68 to 62.

Motion was made and seconded to amend paragraph A of the document to delete the phrase "and then the approval of the President of the University,". The Senate defeated this motion.

*Absence explained

Motion was made and seconded to amend paragraph A of the document to strike the words "Cabinet of". The Senate approved this motion.

Motion was made and seconded to amend the amendment to strike the following words "and appointed by the University Senate.", to end the sentence after the word "University", and to add the following sentence at the end of the paragraph:

His nomination shall be subject to approval by the Senate and his appointment made by the President of the Senate.

The Senate approved this motion.

The Senate then approved paragraph A of Section II of the document, as amended. This paragraph, as amended and approved, reads as follows:

A. APPOINTMENT

The Academic Ombudsman shall be a tenured faculty member. He shall be nominated by the Senate Council, subject to the approval of the Student Government, and then the approval of the President of the University. His nomination shall be subject to approval by the Senate and his appointment made by the President of the Senate.

Dr. Plucknett presented a recommendation to amend Section B. ASSIGNMENT - to delete both paragraphs and substitute the following new paragraph:

The Academic Ombudsman shall be appointed for a term of twelve months and, subject to the approval of the President, he will be assigned to this position full time.

The Senate approved this amendment.

Dr. Plucknett presented a recommendation to amend paragraph E. AUTHORITY - to strike the entire paragraph and replace it with the following paragraph:

The Academic Ombudsman has no specific authority other than that assigned by the President and implied in the preceding section on Procedures. However, he has the authority to recommend to the Senate Council on policies or practices that should be terminated, modified, or initiated. The Council, in turn, will negotiate for the Senate with the appropriate administrative officers, units or student groups to secure appropriate action on these matters.

A hand count indicated that the Senate defeated this amendment.

Motion was made and seconded to amend section D. PROCEDURE - to delete the second paragraph which is numbered arabic 2. The Senate approved this amendment. The paragraph which the Senate approved for deletion read as follows:

2. If he decides that it does not contain merit, he shall forward a written report to the University Appeals Board and a copy to the student.

The Senate then approved the original motion to adopt Section II. THE ACADEMIC OMBUDSMAN - contained in the Report of the University Senate Advisory Committee on Student Affairs dated October 13, 1969, and amended by the Senate, for trans-

mittal to the Rules Committee for codification and inclusion in the Rules of the University Senate. Section II, as amended and approved, follows:

II. THE ACADEMIC OMBUDSMAN

A. APPOINTMENT

The Academic Ombudsman shall be a tenured faculty member. He shall be nominated by the Senate Council, subject to the approval of the Student Government, and then the approval of the President of the University. His nomination shall be subject to approval by the Senate and his appointment made by the President of the Senate.

B. ASSIGNMENT

The Academic Ombudsman shall be appointed for a term of twelve months and, subject to the approval of the President, he will be assigned to this position full time.

C. JURISDICTION

All student grievances involving violations of rights stated herein shall be reported to the Academic Ombudsman within 30 days of their occurrence. Grievances which are reported after this period or which otherwise come to the attention of the Academic Ombudsman may be acted on according to his determination of the circumstances.

D. PROCEDURE

The Academic Ombudsman shall investigate each student grievance to determine whether it contains merit.

1. If he decides that it does, he shall use moral suasion, negotiation, personal appeal, and the prestige of his office to settle the case to his satisfaction and that of the student.
2. When he is unable to satisfy the grievance to the satisfaction of the student or when he has notified the student that the grievance does not contain merit, the student has the right to appeal within 30 days to the University Appeals Board.
3. Upon receipt of the written appeal, the Chairman of the Appeals Board shall notify the Academic Ombudsman to forward all reports and evidence concerning the case.
4. The Board may then by majority vote agree to hear the student's case or to allow the Academic Ombudsman's decision to be final.

E. AUTHORITY

The Academic Ombudsman shall receive full and prompt cooperation from administrators and faculty members, and shall have broad investigatory powers. He may report directly to the President about any significant problems. He may recommend policies or practices that should be terminated, modified or initiated to Student Government, the Senate Council, deans, department heads, or other appropriate persons.

Minutes of the University Senate, November 3, 1969 (Cont'd.)

F. ANNUAL REPORT

At the end of every year in office, the Academic Ombudsman shall submit a report to the President, Student Government and the Senate Council.

Dr. Plucknett presented a recommendation that the Senate request the President to provide the Academic Ombudsman with adequate office space, a secretary, and an appropriate budget. The recommendation was seconded. Motion was then made and seconded to amend the recommendation to include also "full support of his authority". Dr. Plucknett did not agree to this addition to his recommendation. Motion was then made and seconded to table the motion. The Senate defeated the motion to table by a vote to 54 to 46.

At this point in the deliberations question was called of the presence of a quorum. A count revealed the presence of a quorum.

The Senate then approved Dr. Plucknett's motion to request the President to provide the Academic Ombudsman with adequate office space, a secretary, and an appropriate budget.

Dr. Plucknett presented a recommendation that the Senate adopt all of Section III. ACADEMIC OFFENSES AND PROCEDURES - contained in the Report of the University Senate Advisory Committee on Student Affairs dated October 13, 1969, for forwarding to the Rules Committee for codification and inclusion in the Rules of the University Senate. The Senate approved this recommendation. Approved Section III reads as follows:

III. ACADEMIC OFFENSES AND PROCEDURES

A. PLAGIARISM

(See Section 1.21 of the Code)

B. CHEATING

(See Section 1.22 of the Code)

C. DISPOSITION OF CASES OF ACADEMIC OFFENSES

1. Any student punished for cheating or plagiarism may appeal either the fact of his guilt, or the severity of his punishment only if it exceeds an E on the paper or test involved. This appeal must be filed in writing with the Academic Ombudsman within 30 days of the student's notification by his instructor.

2. The Academic Ombudsman shall attempt to resolve the case to the satisfaction of the instructor and the student. If he cannot, he shall forward a written report to the University Appeals Board and copies to the student and the instructor. The student or instructor may file a statement with the Board, which may then decide by majority vote whether to hear the case or not.

3. If the Board decides to hear the case because of some question about the fact of the student's guilt, it shall have the authority to impose a final decision.

4. If the Board decides to hear the case because of some question about the severity of the punishment, it shall recommend an appropriate punishment to the instructor. The instructor may refuse to accept this recommendation, but if he does so, the Board shall have the authority to give the student a W (withdrew passing) in the course.

Dr. Plucknett presented a recommendation that the Senate adopt all of Section IV. ACADEMIC PUNISHMENTS - contained in the Report of the University Senate Advisory Committee on Student Affairs dated October 13, 1969, for forwarding to the Rules Committee for codification and inclusion in the Rules of the University Senate. The Senate approved this recommendation. Approved Section IV reads as follows:

IV. ACADEMIC PUNISHMENTS

(See Section 1.62 in the Code)

Dr. Plucknett presented a recommendation that the Senate adopt all of Section V. AUTHORITY OF THE UNIVERSITY APPEALS BOARD IN CASES OF STUDENT RIGHTS - contained in the Report of the University Senate Advisory Committee on Student Affairs dated October 13, 1969, for forwarding to the Rules Committee for codification and inclusion in the Rules of the University Senate. The recommendation was seconded.

On behalf of the Senate Council, Dr. Plucknett then presented a motion to amend Section V. to delete the entire second paragraph numbered arabic 2. The Senate defeated this proposed amendment.

On behalf of the Senate Council, Dr. Plucknett presented a motion to amend Section V. to delete the third paragraph numbered arabic 3. The Senate defeated this proposed amendment.

The Senate then approved the original motion to adopt all of Section V. contained in the Report. Approved Section V. reads as follows:

V. AUTHORITY OF THE UNIVERSITY APPEALS BOARD IN CASES OF STUDENT RIGHTS

After hearing a case involving a violation of student rights as set forth herein, the Board may select from the following remedies:

1. The Board may direct that a student be informed about the content, grading standards, and procedures of a course when a violation of the pertinent rules has been proved.
2. The Board may direct that a student's grade in a course be changed, but only to a W (withdrew passing) or a P (passing, credit toward graduation but not toward grade point standing) when an academic evaluation based upon anything other than a good-faith judgment of a student has been proved. Under no circumstances shall a student's grade be lowered as a result of his appeal.

Minutes of the University Senate, November 3, 1969 (Cont'd.)

3. The Board may take any other reasonable action calculated to guarantee the rights stated herein.

The Senate adjourned at 5:40 p.m.

Kathryne W. Shelburne
Recording Secretary

MINUTES OF THE UNIVERSITY SENATE, NOVEMBER 10, 1969

The University Senate met in regular session at 4:00 p.m., Monday, November 10, 1969, in the Court Room of the Law Building. Chairman Ogletree presided. Members absent: Charles Auvenshine, Richard C. Birkeback, Marion A. Carnes, Robert E. Cazden, Virgil L. Christian, Jr., Carl B. Cone*, Robert L. Cosgriff*, William B. Cotter, Charles F. Davis, III, David E. Denton*, Richard M. Doughty, W. G. Duncan*, W. W. Ecton*, Frederic J. Fleron, Joseph B. Fugate*, Jess L. Gardner*, William A. Gordon, Michael D. Hall, Rebekah Harleston*, Maurice A. Hatch*, Eugene Huff, John W. Hutchinson*, John E. Kennedy, Walter G. Langlois*, Albert S. Levy, Mark M. Luckens*, Richard V. McDougall, Richard I. Miller, Vernon A. Musselman*, Jacqueline A. Noonan, Louis A. Norton*, Horace A. Norrell, Richard P. O'Neill, Bobby C. Pass*, Albert W. Patrick*, Doyle E. Peaslee*, Ronald E. Phillips, Nicholas J. Pisacano*, William R. Proffit*, Leonard A. Ravitz, John W. Roddick*, Robert A. Sedler, Ralph Shabetai, Robert Straus*, Robert H. Stroup*, William G. Survant*, Tomothy H. Taylor, Richard Thurston, Duane N. Tweeddale*, Harold H. Van Horn, H. Fred Vetter, Gilbert T. Webster*, David R. Wekstein*, W. W. Winternitz, J. D. Wirtschafter, Norman L. Taylor*, Glenn B. Collins*, Thomas M. Olshewsky, Otis A. Singletary, Alvin L. Morris, George J. Rushchell, Glenwood L. Creech, Lewis W. Cochran, Lawrence A. Allen, Charles E. Barnhart, Harry M. Bohannan, George W. Denemark, Robert M. Drake, Jr., Charles P. Graves, Jack B. Hall, Joseph Hamburg, Ellis F. Hartford, Raymon D. Johnson*, William S. Jordan, Jr.*, Elbert W. Ockerman*, Leonard V. Packett, John C. Robertson*, Wimberly C. Royster, Doris M. Seward, Joseph V. Swintosky*, Ernest F. Witte, Winston E. Miller.

The Senate approved the requests of Dick Ware, photographer, and Pat Mathes, reporter, both of the Kernel, to be permitted to attend, report, and photograph the meeting.

The Senate gave permission to Deborah Shirley, Judy Schroeder, John Nelson, and David Felty, students in the Honors Program, to attend the meeting.

The minutes of the regular meeting of October 13th which continued into

*Absence explained

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY

LEXINGTON, KENTUCKY 40506

DEAN OF ADMISSIONS AND REGISTRAR

ADMISSIONS
ORIENTATION
RECORDS
SCHOOL RELATIONS

October 28, 1969

MEMORANDUM

To: The University Senate

From: Dr. Elbert W. Ockerman, Secretary, University Senate

A special meeting of the University Senate has been called for Monday, November 3, 1969 at 4:00 p.m. This meeting will be held in the Court Room of the Law Building. The purpose of this called meeting is to continue consideration of the Report of the University Senate Advisory Committee on Student Affairs.