

June 10, 1796

For while it lasted I had many many hours of pure happiness Dream not Coleridge of having tasted all the grandeur and wildness of Fancy till you have gone mad - all now seems to me rapid; comparatively so excuse this selfish digression - Your monody is so w superbly excellent, that I can only wish it perfect which I cant help feeling it is not quite Indulge me in a few conjectures what I am going to propose would make it more compreſ'd and I think more energetic tho' I am sensible at the expence of many beautiful lines let it begin "To this the land of Song - emnobled line" and proceed to "Ghways famished form" then "The blatter" to "blaze of Seraphim" then "clad in natures rich array" to "oneid day" then but soon the scathing lightning" to "blighted land" then "sublime of Thought" to "his bosom glows" then "but soon upon his poor unsheltered head did penury her sickly milder shew & soon are fled the charms of early grace and joys wild gleams that lightned on his face" then "Youth of tumultuous soul" to "sigh" as before The rest

~~will all stand in to go to you now no waves etc~~  
follows now may come next as detached verses, suggested by the monody rather than a part of it They are in themselves very sweet "And we at sober eve would rothee strong. Hanging enraptured on thy stately song" - particular perhaps If I am obscure you may understand me by counting lines I have proposed omitting 2d line I feel that thus comprefed it would gain energy. but then it most likely you will not agree with me, for who should go about to bring opinions to the bed of Procrastes and introduce among the sons of men a monodony of identical feelings I only propose with diffidence you, if you please with as little remorse as you would color of a coat or the pattern of a buckle where our differed. The lines "Friend to the Frenetick &c you may think "ruddy distranch'd" from the last will patch in with the man of Ross, where they were once quite at home with two more which I recollect "and o'er the downy virgin snowy cheek had been love suffused his blushes meek" very beautiful There is a perfect thing and so are the lines on the page 28 the epitaph on an infant like a jock of

June 10, 1796

has danced about (or like Dr. Froster's Scholars) out of  
the Moon: Chron: into the Watchman and thence  
back into your collection - it is very pretty and you  
seem to think so, but may be have overlooked its  
chief merit, that of filling up a whole page I had  
once deemed Sonnets of unrivalled use that way but  
your epitaphs I find are the more diffuse. Edmund  
still holds its place among your best verses "Ah fair  
delights" to "roses round" in your poem called ~~Alouane~~  
recall (none more forcibly) to my mind the tones  
in which you recited it - I will not notice in  
this sedecies (to you) manner verses which have been  
so long delightful to me, and which you already  
know my opinion of - of this kind are Bowles Priestly  
and that most exquisite and most Bowles-like of all  
the 19<sup>th</sup> effusion. It would have better ended with  
"agony of care" The two last lines are obvious and  
unnecessary and you need not now make 14 lines of it  
~~now it is unchristened from a sonnet to an effusion -~~  
Schiller might have written the 20 effusion His worthy  
of him in any sense I was glad to meet with those  
lines you sent me, when my sister was so ill. I had lost  
the copy and I felt not a little proud at seeing my  
name in your verse. The complaint of Minathoma  
(1<sup>st</sup> Hauza in particular) is the best or only good  
imitation of Spain I ever saw - your "restless gale" excepted  
"To an Infant" is most sweet - is not "foodful" tho' very  
harsh would not "dulcet" fruit be less harsh or some  
other friendly bit syllable in Edmund "Faree eyed child"  
is not so well as frantic - tho' that is an epithet adding  
nothing to the meaning "Slander" couching was better  
than squatting In the Man of Ross it was or better  
line thus "If neath this roof thy wine cheared moments  
past" than as it stands now Fine nor nothing can  
reconcile me to the concluding five lines of Kosciusko  
call it any thing you will but sublime In my 12<sup>th</sup>  
effusion I had rather have seen what I wrote myself  
though they bear no comparison with your exquisite

June 10, 1796

lines "On rose leaved beds amid your faery bowers  
sc" I love my sonnets because they are the reflected images of my own feelings at different times, To instance in the 13<sup>th</sup> "How reason reeled" are good lines but must spoil the whole with me who know it is only a fiction of yours and that the rude dashings aid in fact not rock me to repose I grant the same objection applies not to the former sonnet but still I love my own feelings They are dear to memory tho' they now and then wake a sigh or a tear "Thinking on olives things fore done" I charge you God spare my ewe lambs and though a Gentleman may borrow six lines in an Epic poem / I should have no objection to borrow 500 and without acknowledging still in a sonnet - a personal poem I do not "ask my friend the owing verse" ~~I would not~~ wrong your feelings by proposing any improvements / Did I think myself capable of suggesting em in such personal poems as "Thou bleedest my poor heart" od so! I am catch'd I have already done it - but that smile I propose abridging would not change the feelings or introduce any alien ones - Do you understand me? in the 28<sup>th</sup> however and in the "sigh" and that composed at Clevedon, things that come from the heart direct, not by the medium of the fancy - I would not suggest an alteration when my blank verse is finished or any long fancy poems "propono tibi alterandum cut-up-andum abridg-andum" just what you will with it - but spare my ewe lambs! that to Mrs Siddons now you were welcome to improve it had been worth it - but I say unto you God spare my ewe lambs - I must

confess were they mine I should omit in Editione  
seund: effusions 2—3 because satiric and below  
the dignity of the poet of religious musings 5—7  
half of the 8<sup>th</sup> — That written in early youth as  
far as "Thousand eyes" — tho' I part not unwillingly  
with that lively line "Chaste joyance dancing in  
her bright blue eyes" and one or two more just therabouts  
— But I would substitute for it that sweet poem called  
"Recollection" in the 5<sup>th</sup> N<sup>o</sup> of the Watchman  
better I think than the remainder of this poem,  
tho' not differing materially as the poem now  
stands it looks altogether confused — and do  
not omit those lines upon the "early blossom  
in your 6<sup>th</sup> Number of the Watchman  
and I would omit the tenth effusion — or  
what would do better alter and improve  
the last 4 lines In fact I suppose if they  
were mine I should not omit "smit em"  
but yours verse is for the most part so  
exquisite, that I like not to see ought of meaner  
matter mixed with it Forgive my petulance  
and often I fear ill founded criticisms and  
forgive me that I have by this time made  
your eyes and head ache with my long  
letter — but I cannot forego hastily the pleasure  
and pride of thus conversing with you — You  
did not tell me whether I was to include  
the conciones ad Populum in my remark  
on your poems — They are not unfrequently so  
and I think you could not do better  
turn'em into verse — if you have no  
so do Allen I am sorry to say is a co