

R. Maddam Esq

Fiescati -

Mary Ann

Black Rock
Dublin.



25
14
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50

morning of the duty, Bourgeois
could not forward a part from
— The whole of your proofs
in behalf of the United Irish
— men with me so far very
little. I don't mean to ob-
ject to your constant
practice of citing paragraphs
in newspapers as evidence
but I go upon this — that
all the alleged tattlers
one after the other were
hatched — and therefore
offered out the shadow of
an fence to men en-
gaged in obtaining a
French Invasion to

Journal (Perrett)
5 Oct 1838
Dear Mr. I write some time
ago to thank you for the kind-
ness of your letter and your
pardon of the book as well
on the honor of the Redeemer
I had not then had time
to send it which I have
now done & send the
series which I took the
liberty of mentioning to you,
your inaccountable supposing
that one of the most respectable
and able as well as
learned men

of his traces, had been ^{at least} secured
for Mr. Mason, in 1794 - he
having died in 1854 at the
House, his property, in which
the late reception of the
Queen at Brompton
took place - there are other
errors about as facts which
you have from recant of the
country admitted into your
book - You may see after
it what you say of one of
the French Deputies in 1794 if
Lord Clive was likely to
join the French Invasion
of Ireland, does not
invents

a more marvellous in-
-vener of Irish affairs,
than the supposing Carnit
to have been in the pay
of England - does of Irish
affairs - The ^{even} ^{ev-}
-tent and of Boscawen are
utterly wrong - I know no
particulars ^{at present} ^{connected}
from many who well know him
away this from Mr. old Lord
Richmond who reported
with him in ^{the} ^{country}
the absence which he had
as well as Carnit of any
thing like a cash V. L. at
was the only possible ground
which the notary ^{proposed} ^{only}

that John Olcott, ^{7th} ~~agent~~ ^{agent} or
that they chose to save the com-
mune & let all they know - so then
on for R. Emmet, I fear the want
of a good man with no private views
his only motive in the war
- decay of force of 1803 - I have a
word with him of his brother

Do you know any thing of
a Dr. Russell ^{of your H. P. office} who confounds the Dep
of New York with Dr. Peck
as you do with the Dep. with
Watt of Birmingham? He charges
me with desiring Ribbons's fluctuation
as they with Dr. Nichol, whereas
he Dr. R. knew it was with the
Dep. of D. - Now my authority is
neither more nor less than Ribbons
himself in his autobiography - not
to mention that Dep. of New York was
only a child of 8 or 9 years old at
the time in question - Yours truly
H. Brown

to help them persons - after
the conspiracy in 1782 &
1793, what the public had
to complain of appeared only
like a justification of
such detestable treason

Your company (as it is
to Robinson, (leave to
Danton, having to
F. Fowle (whom I the
may you consider as being
acted merit), I hope
soon - The Fortways to
which you adhere are
bad enough in all

conscience
without has recourse to
violent suppositions
which explain the effect
of conscience - But I do not
believe that Luther was
aware of their proceeds.
I recollect a fine attack on him
respecting Judson's dispute & the
impugnation made on all of
us his strenuous opponents, was
that the charges against him
were groundless - I wish
there were better authorities
in your National & in
the manner of our eyes

them - I cannot find
the places in the book
to which you refer
in another - To my
amusement I should be
glad you would let
me where anything
is said of Jackson -
There is a part of him -
& in one place he is
mentioned as being
born in New York - I
can find nothing about
him except that