INFORMATION COPY INFORMATION COPY ISSUED NOT RECOMMENDED FOR PUBLICATION MANDATE NOT YET ISSUED NOT RECOMMENDED FOR PUBLICATION DIS. CT. # 910

FILED

appeals of

JAN 8 1993

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE SIXTH CIRCUIT

LEONARD GREEN, Clerk

RUBEN G. HICKS, Plaintiff-Appellant, Cross-Appellee, ON APPEAL FROM THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF **KENTUCKY** JOHN SLOAN et al., ORDER Defendant-Appellee, NOT RECOMMENDED FOR FULL-TEXT PUBLICATION Cross-Appellant. Sixth Circuit Rule 24 limits chation to specific situations. Ph Rule 24 before citing in a proceeding in a court in the Stuth Circuit. If

This notice is to be preminently displayed if this decision is reprodu BEFORE: MILBURN and NORRIS, Circuit Judges; KRUPANSKY, Senior Circuit Judge.

cited, a copy must be served on other parties and the Court.

This cause is before us upon a petition for rehearing of our order dismissing the captioned appeals, entered on November 10, 1992. The petition was filed by the defendants Sloan, and the plaintiff trustee advises the court he does not oppose the petition.

Rehearing is granted and the Order of November 10, 1992 is vacated.

Following oral argument on these appeals, the parties filed a "Joint Motion to Adopt Settlement Agreement." A settlement agreement was attached.

In their joint motion, the parties represented that the settlement had been

Nos. 91-6462/6463/6464 Hicks v. Sloan

presented to and approved by the bankruptcy court, and we are asked to adopt the settlement agreement as our "Judgment and Mandate."

As it appears to the court that the settlement agreement has been approved by the bankruptcy court, this court will adopt the settlement agreement as its order disposing of the appeals.

The appeals in Case Nos. 91-6462, 91-6463, and 91-6464 are therefore settled and compromised upon the terms of the settlement agreement entered into by the parties and approved by the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Eastern District of Kentucky, Corbin Division, on October 6, 1992, which settlement agreement is adopted as the Order of this court disposing of the appeals. This cause is remanded to the bankruptcy court for enforcement of the terms of the settlement agreement, should the occasion arise.

ENTERED BY ORDER OF THE COURT

Glorard Green, Clerk of Court

] Juni

NOT RECOMMENDED FOR PUBLICATION

Nos. 91-6462/6463/6464

FILED

Jon Cir 86-227 + 91-157

NOV 10 1992

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE SIXTH CIRCUIT LEONARD GREEN, Clerk

FILED

RUBEN G. HICKS,

Plaintiff-Appellant, Cross-Appellee, NOV 13 1992

AT LONDON LESLIE G. WHITMER CLERK, U. S. DISTRICT COURT

ON APPEAL FROM THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF

KENTUCKY

v.

JOHN SLOAN et al.,

Defendant-Appellee, Cross-Appellant. ORDER

) NOT RECOMMENDED FOR FULL-TEXT PUBLICATION

Sixth Circuit Rule 24 limits citation to specific situations. Please see Rule 24 before citing in a proceeding in a court in the Sixth Circuit. If cited, a copy must be served on other parties and the Court.

This notice is to be prominently displayed if this decision is reproduced

BEFORE: MILBURN and NORRIS, Circuit Judges; KRUPANSKY, Senior Circuit Judge.

Following oral argument on this appeal, the parties filed a "Joint Motion to Adopt Settlement Agreement." A settlement agreement was attached.

In their joint motion, the parties represent that the settlement has been presented to and approved by the bankruptcy court, and we are asked to adopt the settlement agreement as our "Judgment and Mandate."

In view of our unfamiliarity with the circumstances surrounding the settlement, and because appellate courts ordinarily are not equipped to enforce the terms of settlement agreements, the better course of action is for the parties to seek adoption and

Nos. 91-6462/6463/6464 Hicks v. Sloan

enforcement of the agreement by the district court.

Accordingly, it appearing to this court that the parties have compromised and settled their differences, this appeal is **dismissed** and the cause is **remanded** to the district court for consideration of the agreement of the parties to settle their differences.

ENTERED BY ORDER OF THE COURT

Honord Green, is Clerk of Court

A TRUE COPY

Attest:

LEONARD GREEN, Clerk

Deputy Clerk

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF KENTUCKY

STATUS REPORT OF CASE ON APPEAL

Docket: _	LONDON
Date:	12-6-91
To: Judge	G. Wix Unthank
Re: - (Styl	e) - Rubin Hicks, Tr. vs Lola Gay Sloan, et al (No.)
	try of Order/Judgment appealed: 10-25-91
Date Notic	e of Appeal filed: 12-6-91 CROSS-APPEAL
By:	Plaintiff - Defendant - Both
Appeal dis	missed on motion of: Appellant - By Agreement
6CCA Actio	n:
Judgm	ment - Date filed District Court:
Order	- Date filed District Court:
Manda	te - Date filed District Court:
Affir	med - Reversed - Modified:(date filed)
Dismi	issed for lack of prosecution:(date filed)
	DEPUTY CLERK

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF KENTUCKY

Patien "appeal"

STATUS REPORT OF CASE ON APPEAL

Docket: (LONDON	
Date:	
To: Judge G. Wix Unthank	+.
Re: - (Style) Ruben Hicks Tr. vs Lola Gay Sloan, et al (No.) with 91-157	
Date of Entry of Order/Judgment appealed: 10-25-91	
Date Notice of Appeal filed:	
By: Plaintiff - Defendant - Both	
Appeal dismissed on motion of: Appellant - By Agreement	
6CCA Action:	
Judgment - Date filed District Court:	
Order - Date filed District Court:	
Mandate - Date filed District Court:	
Affirmed - Reversed - Modified:(date filed)	
Dismissed for lack of prosecution:(date filed)	
DEPUTY CLERK	

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF KENTUCKY

STATUS REPORT OF CASE ON APPEAL

Docke	t: LONDON		
Date:	11-25-91		
To:	JudgeG. Wix Unthank		
Re: -	(Style) - Ruben Hicks, Tr. vs Lola Gay Sloan		5-227 consolidated th 91-157
Date	of Entry of Order/Judgment appealed:	10-25-91	
Date	Notice of Appeal filed:	11-22-91	
	By: Plaintiff - Defendant - Both		
Appea	al dismissed on motion of: Appellant - 1	By Agreement	
6CCA	Action:		
	Judgment - Date filed District Court:		
	Order - Date filed District Court:		
	Mandate - Date filed District Court:	*	
	Affirmed - Reversed - Modified:	(date filed)	· ·
	Dismissed for lack of prosecution:	(date filed)	*
		DEPUTY CLERK	