

The Senate met in special session in the Chapel at noon, January 30, and adopted the following resolution concerning the death of Professor Raymond W. Sies:

"The faculty of the University of Kentucky were profoundly shocked and grieved to learn of the sudden death of Dr. Raymond W. Sies on Sunday afternoon, January 29, of pneumonia. Doctor Sies was Professor of Educational Administration and Supervision in the Department of Education at the University of Kentucky. He came to the University in September from the University of Minnesota, where he was Professor of Educational Administration for three years. He had been with us but a short while, but during this time he had made many warm friends in the University and in Lexington. He was an excellent scholar, a good teacher and thoroughly equipped in the field of administration and supervision, - was painstaking and scientific in his work, a man of fine ideals and a high sense of justice and honor. The faculty feel that in his death the University has sustained a great loss. We extend to his wife and family our sincere sorrow and sympathy in his passing."

J. T. C. Noe  
Committee J. B. Miner  
Paul P. Boyd

*Ernest G. Gillis*  
Secretary

## MINUTES OF THE SENATE FEBRUARY 13, 1922

The University Senate met in the Little Theater Monday, February 13, President McVey presiding.

The minutes of January 16 and Council minutes of January 13, 20, 27 were read and approved.

The annual report of the Auditing Committee for Student Enterprises for 1920-21 was read and ordered to be included in the minutes. It is as follows:

"President Frank L. McVey  
University of Kentucky

Sir:

The Auditing Committee for Student accounts presents you herewith its annual report for transmittal to the University Senate.

The Committee has been in operation under Senate rule for the past two years. During that time, its work has been largely educational and corrective. It has found that students for the most part have been careless in keeping their reports and records and often it has been difficult for them to make satisfactory statements. This committee has held conferences with the various student bodies and attempted

to instruct them in the work of keeping their financial records and in making their annual financial reports. Practically all student organizations have shown a willingness and desire to cooperate with the Committee in keeping accurate and satisfactory records. In some instances, the Committee has had to show considerable persistency and firmness in getting the students to make reports.

In some cases, the students, by reason of careless methods, have not been able to give complete reports, and the Committee has been compelled to pass on incomplete evidence. This is due in the main, we believe, to carelessness, rather than to improper handling of funds. In such cases, the Committee has approved the reports only so far as the evidence submitted showed, and has issued warnings to such organizations that fuller evidence must be submitted in the future.

Your Committee has come to the conclusion, after due deliberation, that the publication of reports annually will have a most favorable influence in this work. It will encourage class officers to strive to keep their records in such condition as will meet the full approval of the Committee and the members of the organization represented.

The most flagrant wrong with which we have had to deal is the failure of class officers to keep vouchers for expenditures. We have formulated specific instructions, published herein, and these if followed in the future, will have a favorable effect on the work. These instructions are simple and easily understood by any student. In the future, it shall be our policy to withhold approval from reports showing lack of proper regard for these instructions.

The various reports submitted for the year 1920-21 are as follows:

(1) The Class of 1922, the Class of 1923, the Class of 1924, and the Class of 1921. (2) The Pan-Hellenic Council; (3) the Young Men's Christian Association; (4) The Kernel; (5) The Young Women's Christian Association; (6) The Little Theater; (7) The Kentuckian; (8) The Strollers; (9) The Athletic Council.

Fraternities and sororities were not included and have not been asked to make reports. One or two other organizations that should have reported have escaped our notice, but we have a more accurate list for the coming year and expect to include all organizations. Attention is called to the fact that we have not audited reports of dances or single performances by a class, as we expect such organizations to include these items in their annual reports.

We have prepared for the printer a more detailed statement which is in process of being printed, and will be distributed to organizations on the campus.

Attention is also called to the fact that this report covers the period from July 1, 1920 to July 1, 1921.

Respectfully submitted

Wellington Patrick  
D. H. Peak  
Edward Wiest  
Henry Curtis "

The Auditing Committee for Student Enterprises also made a special report on the "Mikado", as follows:

"To the University Senate:

Through President McVey we are transmitting to you a special report on the Mikado performance in Lexington this winter. It is our custom to report annually on the financial audit of all organizations, but owing to the questions arising in connection with this matter we are making this special report.

(1) We have passed on the vouchers submitted by Mr. Evans and Mr. Wilson regarding the finances of the Mikado performance. So far as the vouchers go the report is in proper form. A question arose regarding the integrity of some of the supporting vouchers in connection with ticket sales. We invited Professor Lampert to come before the Committee and make a statement. As a result of the evidence submitted, our committee is of the opinion that the whole financial affair of the Mikado performance at Lexington was very poorly managed and open to criticism. It should be pointed out, however, that Professor Lampert did not handle the funds in connection with the performance, and that it was his desire that the matter be properly handled. Without attempting to enter into the matter in detail we are confining our report to the statement that in our judgment the method of management was questionable and open to criticism.

(2) There is transmitted herewith certain correspondence from the Student Loan Fund Committee regarding the performance. Your Committee takes the attitude that it is concerned only with the fidelity of reports, and that the question raised by the Student Loan Fund Committee is one for the Senate or other administrative authorities to deal with. It is pointed out that the Student Loan Fund Committee in a second communication withdrew part of its request, and left this Committee free to do as it liked in transmitting the correspondence to the Senate. Since they have left the matter in this form, however, we consider that we have nothing else to do but to transmit the whole matter to the Senate without comment.

Respectfully submitted

Wellington Patrick  
D. H. Peak  
Edward Wiest  
Henry E. Curtis

Auditing Committee for Student  
Organizations"

The following is a copy of the correspondence from the Student Loan Fund Committee in regard to the performance of the Mikado:

"To the Auditing Committee for Student Enterprises      Jan. 26, 1922  
University of Kentucky

Gentlemen:

The financial statement of the Mikado recently filed with Honorable

D. H. Peak, by Mr. Evans, Production Manager, being a report open to public inspection, has been examined with much interest.

May we be permitted to respectfully call your attention to certain portions of this report in particular, and to certain facts relative thereto.

There is transmitted herewith several copies of the Lexington Herald and Leader showing advertisements of the Mikado, and also a copy of a billboard poster advertising Mikado. It will be noted from the accompanying copies that the Mikado was advertised "for the benefit of the Student Loan Fund", in all advertisements appearing before the public; in not one that has been found was such statement omitted, and in no published statement was it ever suggested that any other person, organization or fund was to receive any benefit whatever. Upon this representation to the public, may of whom patronized Mikado because of such representation, we submit to you this proposition that the Student Loan Fund is alone entitled to all profit resulting therefrom. The fact that permission was not asked of the Loan Committee to so advertise, and that the Committee was not informed of the intentions of the Mikado cannot be deemed an abridgment of the right of the Loan Fund to claim as its own all profit.

It appears from the financial report that the gross receipts were \$1,310 and that the total necessary expenses of production were \$719.71 leaving a balance of \$590.29 as profit. It further appears that of this \$590.29, the sum of \$565.20 has been expended for uniforms for the University Band, under the very proper designation of "Extraordinary Disbursements." May we submit that such disbursement is, as is admitted, "extraordinary", that it is irregular, and in view of the public advertisements of Mikado, unjust and unfair, and that such expenditure should not be approved in the audit of these funds.

It is to be noted that the balance of \$590.29, which was not so expended, amounting to \$25.09, is reported as "balance on hand", coupled with the very illuminating, and in view of the facts, very extraordinary statement from the "Production Manager" that the "balance of \$25 should be transferred to the account of the Department of Music, unless Professor Carl Lampert directs otherwise. This statement is very significant in that it would appear that the production manager does not acknowledge the right of the Loan Fund to even this unexpended balance. The statement is also significant in that the production manager recognizes Professor Carl Lampert as the sole and final authority upon the disposition of funds of the Mikado.

In view therefore of the foregoing, we would respectfully urge:

- (1) That the Student Loan Fund is entitled to the payment of the unexpended balance of \$25.09.
- (2) That the Student Loan Fund is entitled to the return of \$565.20 improperly expended in payment of bills which did not grow out of the production of Mikado, and had absolutely no relation thereto.
- (3) That the Auditing Committee should not approve the financial statement submitted until conditions (1) and (2) have been met.
- (4) That if conditions (1) and (2) be not met in a reasonable time, that the whole matter should be laid before the University Senate.

Copies of this letter are being sent to President McVey and Professor Carl Lampert.

Respectfully submitted

Committee on Student Loan Fund

W. S. Webb            Chairman  
Frances Jewell  
J. J. Hooper  
Maybelle Cornell  
Bart N. Peak  
T. T. Jones

To the above letter was attached copies of the Lexington Leader and Lexington Herald, in which the Mikado was advertised "For the Benefit Student Loan Fund." Also a large poster, bearing the same statement.

"To the Auditing Committee for Student Enterprises  
University of Kentucky

January 28, 1922

Gentlemen:

Referring to claims of the Student Loan Fund relative to profits earned by Mikado, presented in the letter of January 27, I would respectfully call your attention to the following additional reasons why this claim should be sustained.

First. On November 15, 1921, application was made to the Collector of Internal Revenue for remission of tax on seat sales for Mikado, the reasons assigned being that

"all proceeds will inure to the benefit of the Student Loan Fund and Band, which is a University organization."

This application was signed "Department of Music, by Carl Lampert" and sworn to before Lula Taylor, Notary Public. Upon this application and affidavit, exemption was granted from payment of tax estimated about \$130. This affidavit is the first, and so far as is known, only written statement that any beneficiary, other than the Student Loan Fund was to share in the profits, and it should be pointed out that this statement was not for publication. However, upon this sworn statement it would appear the Loan Fund was at least entitled to share equally with the Band. Indeed it is doubtful if the Government would have regarded the Band and the members thereof as objects of philanthropy on a par with the Loan Fund.

Second. About a year ago, the Department of Music produced a play entitled Robin Hood. Although this was a Student Enterprise, much like Mikado, no financial statement was ever filed with your Committee, so it is understood. It is common knowledge that Robin Hood was a financial success, so much so, that various members of the cast were paid various sums for their service. These sums were paid on a basis of profits earned. It seems however, that although funds were available for distri-

(Correspondence regarding Mikado)

bution to members of the cast, yet all bills incident to the production of Robin Hood were not paid.

It should be pointed out that in the financial statement of the Production Manager of Mikado, Voucher No. 34 is a payment of a bill due the Lexington Dry Goods Co., amounting to \$50.31 growing out of the production of Robin Hood and reported under the designation of Extraordinary Disbursements. The payment of this bill still further reduced the reported profits of Mikado. In view of these facts, I would respectfully request your Committee to disapprove the payment of this bill from profits of the Mikado, which sum should be returned to profit to which the Student Loan Fund lays claim.

It may be stated that when your Committee has completed its investigation, before final action is taken, I should like opportunity to present additional evidence of the claim of the Loan Fund. However, if your Committee should feel that this is a matter without your jurisdiction, and conclude that this matter should be referred to the University Senate, such evidence will be presented to the Senate.

Copies of this letter are being sent to President McVey and to Professor Carl Lampert.

Very respectfully,

Wm. S. Webb,  
Chairman, Committee on Student  
Loan."

"Professor Carl Lampert  
University of Kentucky

January 28, 1922

My dear Professor Lampert:

The Auditing Committee has received a letter from the Student Loan Fund Committee regarding the Mikado performance in Lexington some weeks ago. The Auditing Committee notes that the statement is made in that communication that a copy has been furnished to you. Our Committee has also received the financial report. The Student Loan Fund Committee made inquiry of us regarding the report and requested to see it. We of course gave them access to it, as we presume that all reports are subject to inspection by interested persons.

Our Committee will meet at 3:30 o'clock, Tuesday, January 31 to audit the accounts of the Mikado performance. It is the desire of our Committee that you file such statement in connection with this matter as you desire to make. We request that the statement be made in writing.

Our Committee being a Committee of the University Senate, will probably conclude that this is an administrative matter, and will probably refer the matter to the Senate without comment. For this reason, especially, we desire that you file such written statement as you care to make.

It is also requested that you appear before our Committee at that time to discuss the whole situation. Our Committee is composed of the following members: Doctor Wiest, Senator Peak, Professor Curtis

and myself.

Very truly yours

Signed - Wellington Patrick  
Chairman, Auditing Committee."

"The Auditing Committee for Student Enterprises  
University of Kentucky

Feb. 8, 1922

Gentlemen:

At a meeting yesterday of the Student Loan Committee to which Professor Carl Lampert had been invited to discuss the financial report of "Mikado" and in particular the claims of the Student Loan Fund as set out in our letter of January 26 addressed to the Auditing Committee, after very full discussion, it was ordered that the Chairman of the Student Loan Fund Committee request of the Auditing Committee the privilege of withdrawing their claim as set out in paragraph 4 in the letter referred to, which reads as follows: "That if conditions (1) and (2) be not met in a reasonable time, that the whole matter should be laid before the University Senate.

In compliance therefore with the order of the Committee, I am requesting that the above paragraph be considered eliminated from their letter.

It may be said, however, in explanation that the Committee does not desire to relinquish its rights as set out in said letter and this request is not a repudiation of their former position, but this paragraph is requested withdrawn that your Auditing Committee may have the greatest freedom in the disposal of this matter and may take such action as it thinks best unhindered by any suggestion from the Student Loan Fund Committee.

Very respectfully yours

Signed - Wm. S. Webb  
Chairman, Student Loan Fund Committee."

The following recommendations of the Rules Committee were read and action postponed until the next meeting:

1. That the final examinations be held at regular recitation periods of the last week of the semester. Students absent from the last recitation period shall be marked "X".

2. That changes in prescribed work shall be effective in any student's course when the changes are made in the part of the course which he has not yet taken.

The following courses, and changes in course numbers, which had been approved temporarily by the Council were offered and approved by the Senate:

College of Arts and Sciences

- ↳ Music 11a-11b - Advanced Harmony - 2 crs. each semester.
- ↳ English 120 --- Pronunciation of Modern English; 2 hrs. week; one semester. A study of standard English pronunciation of the present, with attention to dialects in Kentucky.
- ↳ Zoology 101 --- Changed to 101 a - Histology of the Organs: Lecture 1 hr; Lab. 4 hrs. a week; first semester.
- ↳ Zoology 101b--- Histology of the Organs. Lecture 1 hr.; Lab. 4 hrs. a week; second semester.
- ↳ Bacteriology 1- Changed to 102 - General Bacteriology.
- ↳ Bacteriology 3- Changed to 103 - Pathogenic "
- ↳ Bacteriology 4- Changed to 104 - Applied "
- ↳ Bacteriology 5- Changed to 105a-105b - a two semester course - Adv. Bact.
- ↳ Bacteriology 101 - Changed to 111 - General Pathology.
- ↳ English 102a and 102b - changed to a one semester course.

College of Engineering

- ↳ M. of E. 8 - Graphics of Machines. Required of all juniors in Mechanical and Electrical Engineering. This course covers the study of friction and of forces in machines. The graphical method of finding the forces and stresses is used, and the effect of friction on the line of action of the forces is determined. Prerequisites, Mechanics of Engineering 1 and 3. Lecture one hour a week, drawing-room two hours a week. First half of second semester.

*E. J. F. F.*  
Secretary