

At M. College May 29 - 1905.

The faculty met pursuant to adjournment at last meeting at 10 A.M. in the president's office, all members being present.

The minutes of the last two meetings were read and after an addition to minutes for May 26, as indicated ^{p. 411} were approved.

C.H. Dietrich

heard in

reference to

case of

Karl L. Dietrich

An audience was given to Mr. C.H. Dietrich (father of Karl L. Dietrich) who placed in the hands of president Patterson a written communication to the faculty and also a formal demand that his son be recommended to the Board of Trustees for the degree of B.M.E. and the diploma of the College.

The papers (inserted herewith) were read to the faculty.

~~Void~~ After an informal discussion it was ordered upon motion of Prof. W.K. Patterson seconded by Prof. Brooks that the communications of Mr. Dietrich be received and filed.

After an informal discussion of the case of Mr. Dietrich it was moved by Dr. Pryor seconded by Prof. Wernicke that the request of Mr. C.H. Dietrich be refused and the matter be referred to the Board of Trustees.

After discussion this motion was withdrawn.

Later it was ordered upon motion of Prof. W.K. Patterson seconded by Prof. Brooks that the communications of Mr. Dietrich be received and filed.

Prof. Kastle seconded by Prof. Anderson moved that the question of Mr. Karl Dietrich's punishment be reopened.

During the discussion upon this motion, a committee of four members from the Senior class was announced and admitted to make statements to the faculty regarding the case of Mr. Dietrich.

Their plea in behalf of Mr. Dietrich consisted mainly in the claim that he had fulfilled

413a

To the Faculty of the Agricultural and Mechanical College of
Kentucky,-

Dear Sirs:-

I herewith hand you a formal demand for the Degree
and Diploma which my son Karl Lander Dietrich has justly earned.

I regret the unfortunate complication which has arisen, but
your action in suspending him has put it out of my power to undo
the difficulties which you have thrown around it.

At 3 P.M. on Thursday, May 25th at Jefferson, Ohio, I re-
ceived from my wife, from Hopkinsville, Kentucky, the following
telegram,- "Professor Paterson telegraphs following, your son
in serious trouble, come to Lexington immediately". Within an
hour I started and reached Lexington at 6:45 P.M. on Friday, May
26th, which was the earliest moment that it was possible for me
to arrive here after receiving the telegram as above setout.
I found on arriving here that you had taken your summary and
drastic action.

My son and I have each demanded the return of his essay
and both President Patterson and Professor Mackenzie have wrong-
fully and without law refused to deliver to either of us this
paper. I, therefore, have never been able to know with accuracy
the contents of that paper, but from the statements of my son
and from the statements of President Patterson in the public
press and to me personally, I feel that the essay contained
indiscreet and unwise strictures upon members of the Faculty.

My son, however, did not make these statements public, but
in accordance with your requirements submitted his paper to the
Censor appointed by you for his approval or disapproval. He
has never intended or threatened to make public any statements
contained in that paper, except such as met with the approval
of your Censor.

When summarily brought before your Body, without my assis-

413b

tance or advice, he made the statement that the Grumbler, as a Class Paper was always taken as a jest. Then with what seems to me an unwarranted lack of discretion, the question was put to him by a member of your Body, as to whether he believed the statements contained in his essay to be true, to which he frankly replied that he did believe them to be true. Under these circumstances I feel that it was your action and not my son's essay which made the serious breach between you and him. The publicity given to that essay, or the purported statements therein, is due not to any act of my son, but to the Censor and the Faculty, as that paper and its contents were entirely at the disposal of the Censor after it was placed in his hands by my son as required by the rules of your Body.

Respectfully submitted,

C. H. Dietrich

413^e

To the Faculty of the Agricultural and Mechanical College of
Kentucky,--

Dear Sirs:--

Inasmuch as my son Karl Lander Dietrich has attended the Agricultural and Mechanical College of Kentucky for a period of four years, and has attained the prescribed standard of proficiency in the regular course of studies prescribed for a degree of Bachelor of Mechanical Engineering, and has in all respects complied with the laws of the State of Kentucky, and the requirements of the College, he is now entitled to have conferred upon him the Degree of Bachelor of Mechanical Engineering, and to receive his diploma at the hands of the Faculty.

As the natural guardian of my said son, Karl Lander Dietrich I now demand at your hands that you grant to him the Degree of Bachelor of Mechanical engineering, and recommend him to the Board of Trustees for said degree and diploma, and to take such other steps as may be necessary under the laws of Kentucky and under your rules for the proper conferring of said degree and diploma.

Respectfully,

L. H. Dietrich

Case of
Karl L. Dietrich

the requirements of submitting the paper to the professor of English and that, if not satisfactory the paper should have been handed back to Mr. Dietrich with a statement to that effect. They further urged that while the class did not uphold Mr. Dietrich in the statements made in the paper they felt that the punishment of Mr. Dietrich was altogether too severe and disproportionate to the offence. Miss Anna Rogers a member of the committee stated that she had read the paper of Mr. Dietrich and that she had seen absolutely nothing in it of an indecent character.

Upon the retirement of this class committee the faculty proceeded to an Aye and No vote upon the motion of Prof. Kastle to reopen the question of Mr. Dietrich's punishment.

Ayes: - Profs. Kastle, Pryor, Anderson, Miller, Norwood andraig. Ayes 6.

Noes: Profs. White, Neville, W. K. Patterson, Roark, Mathews, Pence, Wernicke, Brooks, Mackenzie, and Burt. Noes 10.

Upon motion it was ordered that the Secretary have prepared a certified copy of the "Grumbler's" paper of Mr. Dietrich, and that the original be returned upon request to the writer.

Upon motion of Lieut. Burt seconded by Prof.raig it was ~~ordered~~ ^{ordered} that the unsigned communication of the Senior Class, certified as such in the presence of the faculty by the class president, Mr. Shipp. be received and filed.

Candidates
for
Degrees.

Upon motion it was ordered that we proceed to the order of the day, the recommendation of degrees to be awarded at Commencement.

Those recommended to the Board of Trustees are as follows: - all conditioned upon completion of required work.

Upon motion of Prof. Neville.

Sarah Marshall Chorn

M.A. - J. A. Sandefur, W. B. Crutchfield Miss Sarah Chorn

B.A. - C. S. Pierce, E. J. Murphy, Misses S. A.

Brashear, R. M. Bryan, J. Drake, Anna G. Rogers,
Grace Ogg, Rachel Fye.

Upon motion of Prof. White.

M.S. - J. Harry Clo. Sue D. McCann. W. H. Scherffins

Thomas M. Smith. Margaret D. E. Wilkie and
Edward Brand.

B.S. - Harold L. Amos, Marion Campbell, Fanny
Gjorrev, Chastain W. Haynes. Sallyneil Wathen.
Luga M. Werness. Emma J. Worner.

Upon motion of Prof. Anderson.

M.E. L. W. Martin, W. E. Pulvurnan, Wallace Horing,

H. A. Horing, G. R. Klein, J. B. Wilson, J. C. Taylor.

E. C. Evans. + H. C. Heaton

B.M.E. G. H. Gilbert, J. H. Darnall, W. H. Grady,

C. W. Ham, J. F. Shipp, B. Thomas, A. D. Murrell,

K. A. Adamson, H. G. Edwards, J. Johnston.

H. J. Tomlinson, H. L. Prather, H. H. Urnston.

W. J. Payne, C. B. Owens, W. G. Layson.

W. D. Roberts, S. M. Morris, L. E. Baumgarten

C. A. Bickel, J. B. Sprake, H. P. Ingles

H. M. West, Q. R. Wallis, E. A. Cline.

M. W. Powell, A. Akin.

Upon motion of Prof. Brooks.

B.C.E. W. B. Burt, J. M. Coone, W. P. Eurbank,

W. C. Kelly, H. A. Scholtz, E. V. B. Stiles,

E. Webb, H. N. Wood, C. R. Wright.

Upon motion of Prof. Norwood.

B. Min. E. O. R. Kroell, H. B. Pope.

Mining Engineer. R. H. Barclay.

Upon motion of Prof. Matthews.

B. Agr. E. R. Ransom, W. J. Schorne, W. S. Weaver.

Herman Woosley.

Upon motion it was ordered that Commencement
program be gotten out in its entirety.

Upon motion it was ordered that the secretary may, if
called upon, communicate to the Press the action regarding
Mr. Dietrich's case. Adjourned. C. W. Matthews. Secy.

Approved May 31 - 1905 J. K. Patton